arXiv:1304.4388v2 [math.AP] 1 Jun 2013

Gevrey Smoothing Effect for Solutions of the Non-Cutoff Boltzmann Equation for Soft Potential

Teng-Fei Zhang[1] Zhaoyang Yin[2]

Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen University,
510275, Guangzhou, P. R. China.

Abstract

In this paper we study the Gevrey smoothing effect of solutions to the non-cutoff spatially homogeneous and inhomogeneous Boltzmann equation for soft potential. We consider the mild singularity case $s < 1/2$ as we did in the previous work for spatially homogeneous case (J. Diff. Equ. 253(4) (2012), 1172-1190. DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2012.04.023) and for spatially inhomogeneous case (Preprint arXiv: 1304.2971.pdf), and try to extend the range of γ. We derive a new coercivity estimate for collision operator, using which we can obtain the Gevrey regularity for $\gamma \in (-5/2, 0)$ improving the previous assumption $\gamma \in (-1-2s, 0)$. Besides, we consider γ and s separately instead of viewing $\gamma + 2s$ as one untied quantity.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35A05, 35B65, 35D10, 35H20, 76P05, 82C40.

Keywords: Non-cutoff Boltzmann equation; Spatially homogeneous and inhomogeneous; Gevrey regularity; Soft potential.

Contents

1 Introduction 2

1.1 The Boltzmann equation 2

1.2 Review of related references 4

1.3 Main results 6

1.4 The structure of the paper 7

---

[1] Corresponding author. Email: *fgeyirui@163.com*

[2] Email: *mcsyzy@mail.sysu.com.cn*

2 Preliminaries **7**

3 Coercivity estimates **8**

3.1 Upper bound for $\mathcal{J}_1$ 9

3.2 Lower bound for $\mathcal{J}_2$ 10

4 Proof of the main lemma **14**

4.1 Rewrite the equation 14

4.2 The estimate on the remainder term 16

4.3 The coercivity and commutator estimate 20

4.4 Upper bound for collision operator 21

4.5 Completion of the proof of the main lemma 22

5 The spatially inhomogeneous case **25**

5.1 Rewrite the equation 25

5.2 Proof of the Gevrey smoothing effect 26

References **31**

1 Introduction

1.1. The Boltzmann equation

In this paper we consider the Cauchy problem of the non-cutoff Boltzmann equation. First we introduce the Cauchy problem of the full (or, spatially inhomogeneous) Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff, with a $T > 0$,

$$\begin{cases} f_t(t,x,v) + v\cdot\nabla_x f(t,x,v) = Q(f,f)(v), \quad t\in(0,T],\ x\in\mathbb{T}^3,\ v\in\mathbb{R}^3, \\ f(0,x,v) = f_0(x,v). \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

Above, $f = f(t,x,v)$ describes the density distribution function of particles located around position $x \in \mathbb{T}^3$ with velocity $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ at time $t \geq 0$. The right-hand side of the first equation is the so-called Boltzmann bilinear collision operator acting only on the velocity variable v:

$$Q(g,f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} B\left(v-v_*,\sigma\right)\left\{g'_*f' - g_*f\right\} d\sigma dv_*.$$

Note that we use the well-known shorthand $f = f(t,x,v)$, $f_* = f(t,x,v_*)$, $f' = f(t,x,v')$, $f'_* = f(t,x,v'_*)$ throughout this paper.

Then, we consider the Cauchy problem of the Boltzmann equation in the spatially homogeneous case, that is, for a $T > 0$,

$$\begin{cases} f_t(t,v) = Q(f,f)(v), \quad t \in (0,T],\ v \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ f(0,v) = f_0(v), \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where "spatially homogeneous" means that f depends only on t and v.

By using the σ-representation, we can describe the relations between the post- and pre-collisional velocities as follows, for $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^2$,

$$v' = \frac{v+v_*}{2} + \frac{|v-v_*|}{2}\sigma, \quad v'_* = \frac{v+v_*}{2} - \frac{|v-v_*|}{2}\sigma.$$

We point out that the collision process satisfies the conservation of momentum and kinetic energy, i.e.

$$v + v_* = v' + v'_*, \qquad |v|^2 + |v_*|^2 = |v'|^2 + |v'_*|^2.$$

The collision cross section $B(z,\sigma)$ is a given non-negative function depending only on the interaction law between particles. From a mathematical viewpoint, that means $B(z,\sigma)$ depends only on the relative velocity $|z| = |v - v_*|$ and the deviation angle θ through the scalar product $\cos\theta = \frac{z}{|z|}\cdot\sigma$.

The cross section B is assumed here to be of the type:

$$B(v-v_*,\cos\theta) = \Phi(|v-v_*|)b(\cos\theta), \quad \cos\theta = \frac{v-v_*}{|v-v_*|}\cdot\sigma, \quad 0 \le \theta \le \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Above, Φ stands for the kinetic factor which is of the form:

$$\Phi(|v-v_*|) = |v-v_*|^\gamma,$$

and b denotes the angular part with singularity such that,

$$\sin\theta b(\cos\theta) \sim K\theta^{-1-2s}, \quad \text{as} \quad \theta \to 0+,$$

for some positive constant K and $0 < s < 1$.

We remark that if the inter-molecule potential satisfies the inverse-power law $U(\rho) = \rho^{-(p-1)}$ (where $p > 2$), it holds $\gamma = \frac{p-5}{p-1}$, $s = \frac{1}{p-1}$. Generally, the cases $\gamma > 0$, $\gamma = 0$, and $\gamma < 0$ correspond to so-called hard, Maxwellian, and soft potential respectively. And the cases $0 < s < 1/2$, $1/2 \le s < 1$ correspond to so-called mild singularity and strong singularity respectively.

As is discussed by Desvillettes in [6] (also, by Villani in his handbook [14]), the most interesting assumption for γ is included in $(-3,1)$. Further, we announce that we will focus on the mild singularity case $0 < s < 1/2$ for soft potential $\gamma \in (-5/2, 0)$.

1.2. Review of related references

Now we give a brief review about some related researches. Firstly we refer the reader to Villani's review book [14] for the physical background and the mathematical theories of the Boltzmann equation. And for more details about the non-cutoff theories, we refer to Alexandre's review paper [1].

Before continuing the statement, we introduce the definition of Gevrey spaces $G^s(\Omega)$ where Ω is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^3$. (It could be found in many references, e.g. [12, 15].)

Definition 1.1. *For $0 < s < +\infty$, we say that $f \in G^s(\Omega)$, if $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, and there exist $C > 0,\ N_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le C^{|\alpha|+1}\{\alpha!\}^s, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3,\ |\alpha| \ge N_0.$$

If the boundary of Ω is smooth, by using the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have the same type estimate with L^2 norm replaced by any L^p norm for $2 < p \le +\infty$.

When $s = 1$, it is usual analytic function. If $s > 1$, it is Gevrey class function. And for $0 < s < 1$, it is called ultra-analytic function.

In 1984 Ukai showed in [13] that there exists a unique local solution to the Cauchy problem for the Boltzmann equation in Gevrey classes for both spatially homogeneous and inhomogeneous cases, under the assumption on the cross section:

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|B(|z|, \cos\theta)\big| \le K(1 + |z|^{-\gamma'} + |z|^{\gamma})\theta^{-n+1-2s}, \quad n \text{ is dimensionality,}\\ &(0 \le \gamma' < n,\ 0 \le \gamma < 2,\ 0 \le s < 1/2,\ \gamma + 6s < 2).\end{aligned}$$

By introducing the norm of Gevrey space

$$\|f\|^U_{\delta,\rho,\nu} = \sum_\alpha \frac{\rho^{|\alpha|}}{\{\alpha!\}^\nu}\|e^{\delta\langle v\rangle^2}\partial_v^\alpha f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_v)},$$

Ukai proved that in the spatially homogeneous case, for instance, under some assumptions for ν and the initial datum $f_0(v)$, the Cauchy problem (1.2) has a unique solution $f(t,v)$ for $t \in (0,T]$.

In [8] Desvillettes studied firstly the C^∞ smoothing effect for solutions of Cauchy problem in spatially homogeneous non-cutoff case, and conjectured Gevrey smoothing effect. And he proved in [7] the propagation of Gevrey regularity for solutions without any assumptions on the decay at infinity in v variables.

In 2009 Morimoto et al. considered in [11] the Gevrey regularity for the linearized Boltzmann equation around the absolute Maxwellian distribution, by virtue of the following mollifier:

$$G_\delta(t, D_v) = \frac{e^{t\langle D_v\rangle^{1/\nu}}}{1 + \delta e^{t\langle D_v\rangle^{1/\nu}}}, \quad 0 < \delta < 1.$$

We remark that the same operator was used in many related researches and models such as the Kac's equation (a simplification of Boltzmann equation to one dimension case), the ultra-analytic smoothing effect for spatially homogeneous nonlinear Landau equation and the linear and non-linear Fokker-Planck equations.

In the mild singularity case $0 < s < 1/2$, Huo et al. proved in [9] that any weak solution $f(t, v)$ to the Cauchy problem (1.2) satisfying the natural boundedness on mass, energy and entropy, namely,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(v)[1 + |v|^2 + \log(1 + f(v))]dv < +\infty, \tag{1.3}$$

belongs to $H^{+\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $0 < t \leq T$, and moreover,

$$f \in L^\infty\big([t_0, T]; H^{+\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)\big), \tag{1.4}$$

for any $T > 0$ and $t_0 \in (0, T)$.

In [3] the five authors considered a kind of solution having the Maxwellian decay, based on which we introduce the following definition:

Definition 1.2. *We say that $f(t, v)$ is a smooth Maxwellian decay solution to the Cauchy problem (1.2) if*

$$\begin{cases} f \geq 0, \quad \not\equiv 0, \\ \exists\ \delta_0 > 0 \text{ such that } e^{\delta_0 \langle v \rangle^2} f \in L^\infty\left([0, T]; H^{+\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)\right). \end{cases}$$

(Note that the Theorem 1.2 of [3] shows the uniqueness of the smooth Maxwellian decay solution to the Cauchy problem (1.2).)

The five authors also proved in [3] the smoothing effect on the solutions with weight. In detail, if the non-negative f belongs to $\mathcal{H}^5_l\Big((t_1, t_2) \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3_v\Big)$, solves the spatially inhomogeneous Boltzmann equation (1.1) in this domain in the classic sense, and satisfies the non-vacuum condition $\|f(t, x, v)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3_v)} > 0$, then

$$f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty_l\Big((t_1, t_2) \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3_v\Big),$$

hence it follows that,

$$f \in C^\infty\Big((t_1, t_2) \times \Omega; \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3_v)\Big).$$

In 2010 Morimoto-Ukai considered in [10] the Gevrey regularity of C^∞ solutions with the Maxwellian decay to the Cauchy problem of spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation. Motivated by their idea, we considered the problem in [15] for a more general case. More precisely, we considered the general kinetic factor $\Phi(|v|) = |v|^\gamma$ instead of the moderate form $\langle v \rangle^\gamma = (1 + |v|^2)^{\gamma/2}$ in [10], and a wider range of the parameter of γ (s.t. $\gamma + 2s \in (-1, 1)$) so as to fit for both hard potential and soft potential.

In the follow-up paper [16] we studied still the general case $\Phi(|v|) = |v|^\gamma$ with $\gamma + 2s \in (-1, 1)$ in the mild singularity case, but the spatially inhomogeneous case. We obtain a corresponding result about the Gevrey regularity.

In the present paper, we resume to the study of spatially homogeneous case in the mild singularity $s < 1/2$, and try to extend the range of γ for soft potential (in $\gamma \geq 0$ we make no change). We consider the case $\gamma \in (-5/2, 0)$ here to take place of the assumption $\gamma \in (-1 - 2s, 0)$. (*Recall that in our previous paper [15],* *we assume that* $\gamma + 2s \in (-1, 1)$.) Note that the simple inequality $-1 - 2s > -2 > -5/2$ gives the extent of extending, and γ and s are considered separately instead of viewing $\gamma + 2s$ as one united quantity. To achieve the goal, we need a new coercivity estimate for collision operator different from that the authors proved in [5] in the range of γ.

1.3. Main results

Now we give our main result of propagation of Gevrey regularity in spatially homogeneous case as follows:

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $\nu > 1$*(which is independent of* s*) and assume that* $0 < s < 1/2$, $-5/2 < \gamma < 0$*. Let* $f(t, v)$ *be a smooth Maxwellian decay solution to the Cauchy problem (1.2). If there exist* ρ', δ' *such that*

$$\sup_{\alpha} \frac{\rho'^{|\alpha|} \| e^{\delta' \langle v \rangle^2} \partial_v^\alpha f(0) \|_{L^2}}{\{\alpha!\}^\nu} < +\infty, \tag{1.5}$$

then there exist $\rho > 0$ *and* $\delta, \kappa > 0$ *with* $\delta > \kappa T$ *such that*

$$\sup_{t \in (0,T]} \sup_{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{|\alpha|} \| e^{(\delta - \kappa t) \langle v \rangle^2} \partial_v^\alpha f(t) \|_{L^2}}{\{\alpha!\}^\nu} < +\infty. \tag{1.6}$$

Remark 1.4. *It should be noted that the above theorem is similar as Theorem 1.2 in [10] and Theorem 1.3 in [15], but we consider here* $\Phi = |v|^\gamma$ *and* $\gamma \in (-5/2, 0)$*.*

Arguing as Section 4 in [10] enables us to obtain the Gevrey smoothing effect of order $1/(2s)$ as follows:

Theorem 1.5. *Assume that* $0 < s < 1/2$, $\gamma \in (-5/2, 0)$*. Let* $\nu = 1/(2s)$ *and let* $f(t, v)$ *be a smooth Maxwellian decay solution to the Cauchy problem (1.2), then for any* $t_0 \in (0, T)$*, there exist* $\rho > 0$ *and* $\delta, \kappa > 0$ *with* $\delta > \kappa T$ *such that*

$$\sup_{t \in [t_0,T]} \sup_{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{|\alpha|} \| e^{(\delta - \kappa t) \langle v \rangle^2} \partial_v^\alpha f(t) \|_{L^2}}{\{\alpha!\}^\nu} < +\infty. \tag{1.7}$$

Corresponding to Theorems 1.3 and 1.5, we can obtain the following two results in spatially inhomogeneous case for soft potential. The first result is for propagation of Gevrey regularity:

Theorem 1.6. *Let* $\nu_1 \geq 1$, $\nu_2 > 1$*(which are independent of* s*) and assume that* $0 < s < 1/2$, $\gamma \in (-5/2, 0)$*. Let* $f(t, x, v)$ *be a smooth Maxwellian decay solution to the Cauchy problem (1.1). If there exist* ρ', δ' *such that*

$$\sup_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{\rho'^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \| e^{\delta' \langle v \rangle^2} \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta f(0) \|_{L^2_{x,v}}}{\{\alpha!\}^{\nu_1} \{\beta!\}^{\nu_2}} < +\infty\,, \tag{1.8}$$

then there exist $\rho > 0$ *and* $\delta, \kappa > 0$ *with* $\delta > \kappa T$ *such that*

$$\sup_{t \in (0,T]} \sup_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{\rho^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \| e^{(\delta - \kappa t) \langle v \rangle^2} \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta f(t) \|_{L^2_{x,v}}}{\{\alpha!\}^{\nu_1} \{\beta!\}^{\nu_2}} < +\infty. \tag{1.9}$$

And the second describes the Gevrey smoothing effect of order $1/(2s)$ in variable v and order 1 in variable x:

Theorem 1.7. *Assume that* $0 < s < 1/2$, $\gamma \in (-5/2, 0)$. *Let* $\nu_1 = 1$, $\nu_2 = 1/(2s)$ *and let* $f(t,x,v)$ *be a smooth Maxwellian decay solution to the Cauchy problem (1.1), then for any* $t_0 \in (0,T)$, *there exist* $\rho > 0$ *and* $\delta, \kappa > 0$ *with* $\delta > \kappa T$ *such that*

$$\sup_{t\in[t_0,T]} \sup_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{\rho^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}\|e^{(\delta-\kappa t)\langle v\rangle^2}\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta f(t)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}}{\{\alpha!\}^{\nu_1}\{\beta!\}^{\nu_2}} < +\infty. \tag{1.10}$$

1.4. The structure of the paper

The remainder of the paper proceeds as follows. In the next section we give some preliminaries and a main lemma, by using which we can complete the proof of Theorem 1.3 immediately. In Section 3 we prove a coercivity estimate for collision operator which is different from what we used before. The proof of the main lemma will be given in Section 4. The last section is devoted to give a outline for proving the results in spatially inhomogeneous case.

2 Preliminaries

First of all, we introduce some basic definitions (see [10] for details).

Let $l,\ r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ which will be chosen later. For $\delta,\ \rho > 0$ we set:

$$\|f\|_{\delta,l,\rho,\alpha,r} \triangleq \frac{\rho^{|\alpha|}\|\langle v\rangle^l e^{\delta\langle v\rangle^2}\partial_v^\alpha f\|_{L^2}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^\nu},$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, and we denote

$$(\alpha - r)! = (\alpha_1 - r)! \cdots (\alpha_n - r)!.$$

Now we give the following definition:

$$\|f\|_{l,\rho,r,N}(t) \triangleq \sup_{rn\le|\alpha|\le N} \|f\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha,r}, \tag{2.1}$$

with fixed $\delta,\ \kappa > 0$ such that $\delta > \kappa T$. Here N is a fixed large number satisfying $rn \le |\alpha| \le N$. Then for $h > 1$ we can obtain

$$\|f\|_{l,\rho(1+h)^{-\nu},r,N}(t) \le \left(\frac{(r!)^n}{h^r}\right)^\nu \|f\|_{l,\rho,0,N}(t). \tag{2.2}$$

Now let $\rho = \rho'$ in the above inequality and take a large enough h, then it follows from the initial assumption (1.5) that $\|f\|_{l,\rho'(1+h)^{-\nu},r,N}(0)$ is as small as we want, where δ can be chosen any positive less than $\delta' > 0$ in (1.5).

Thus, to prove (1.6), it suffices to prove, under the assumption that $\|f\|_{l,\rho,r,N}(0)$ is sufficiently small,

$$\sup_{t\in(0,T]}\|f\|_{l,\rho,r,N}(t)<\infty. \tag{2.3}$$

Above, $\rho=\rho'(1+h)^{-\nu}$.

We point out that we will consider the Cauchy problem in $\mathbb{R}^3$ in the paper.

Lemma 2.1. *If $l\geq 4$ and $r>1+\nu/(\nu-1)$ then for any α satisfying $3r\leq|\alpha|\leq N$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|f(t)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha,r}+2\kappa\int_0^t\|f(\tau)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l+1,\rho,\alpha,r}d\tau\\ \leq&\|f(0)\|^2_{\delta,l,\rho,\alpha,r}+C_\kappa\int_0^t\Big(\|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)+\|f\|^{2(2+\beta)/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)\Big)d\tau+\frac{\kappa}{10}\sup_{3r\leq|\alpha|\leq N}\int_0^t\|f(\tau)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l+1,\rho,\alpha,r}d\tau,\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where $\beta=-\gamma\cdot 1_{\gamma\in[-1,0)}+1_{\gamma\in(-5/2,-1)}$.

Mimicking the scheme in Section 2 in [10] (It is only a matter of using the Bernoulli equation in ODE theory with a different order), we can prove Theorem 1.3 by virtue of this lemma. We omit the details. The proof of this lemma will be given in Section 4.

3 Coercivity estimates

Considering the coercivity estimate of collision operator $-Q(g,f)$ for $\gamma\in(-5/2,0)$, the result in [5] for the case $\gamma+2s>-1$ is no longer applied to our meet. We reconsider in the framework of [5] the coercivity estimate, which is described as follows:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $0<s<1$ and assume that the nonnegative function g satisfies*

$$\|g\|_{L^1_2(\mathbb{R}^3_v)}+\|g\|_{L\log L(\mathbb{R}^3_v)}<\infty.$$

Then there exists a constant $C_g>0$ depending on B, $\|g\|_{L^1_1(\mathbb{R}^3_v)}$ and $\|g\|_{L\log L(\mathbb{R}^3_v)}$ such that in the case of $\gamma\in(0,1)$, there holds

$$\langle -Q(g,f),f\rangle\gtrsim C_g\|f\|^2_{H^s_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}}-\Big(\|g\|_{L^1_{2-\gamma}}+C_g\|g\|^2_{L^1}\Big)\|f\|^2_{L^2_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}}, \tag{3.1}$$

and in the case of $\gamma\in(-3,0]$, there holds

$$\langle -Q(g,f),f\rangle\gtrsim C_g\|f\|^2_{H^s_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}}-\Big(\|g\|_{L^1_{|\gamma+2|}}+\|g\|_{L^2_{|\gamma+2|}}\Big)\|f\|^2_{L^2_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}}-\Big(\|g\|_{L^1_{|\gamma|}}+\|g\|_{L^2_{|\gamma|}}\Big)\|f\|^2_{H^\rho_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}}, \tag{3.2}$$

with $-\frac{\gamma}{2}-\frac{3}{4}<\rho<s$.

Proof. It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Q(g,f),f\rangle=&\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2}Bg_*f(f'-f)dvdv_*d\sigma\\ =&\frac{1}{2}\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2}Bg_*(f'^2-f^2)dvdv_*d\sigma-\frac{1}{2}\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2}Bg_*(f'-f)^2dvdv_*d\sigma\\ \triangleq&\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{J}_1-\mathcal{J}_2).\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

3.1. Upper bound for $\mathcal{J}_1$

By change of variables and the so-called cancellation lemma (see [2]), we can rewrite $\mathcal{J}_1$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_1 =&|\mathbb{S}^1|\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times[0,\frac{\pi}{2}]} sin\theta\left\{\frac{1}{cos^3\frac{\theta}{2}}B\left(\frac{|v-v_*|}{cos\theta/2},cos\theta\right)-B(|v-v_*|,cos\theta)\right\}g_*f^2dvdv_*d\theta \\ =&|\mathbb{S}^1|\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times[0,\frac{\pi}{2}]} sin\theta b(cos\theta)|v-v_*|^\gamma\left\{\left(cos^{-1}\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{\gamma+3}-1\right\}g_*f^2dvdv_*d\theta\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

Noticing that

$$x^m-y^m=m\int_y^x z^{m-1}dz,$$

we have, for $\theta\in[0,\frac{\pi}{2}]$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(cos^{-1}\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{\gamma+3}-1=\frac{\gamma+3}{cos^{\gamma+3}\frac{\theta}{2}}\int_0^{\frac{\theta}{2}}cos^{\gamma+2}\theta sin\theta d\theta \\ \leq&(\gamma+3)\int_0^{\frac{\theta}{2}}sin\theta d\theta\leq(\gamma+3)\left(1-cos\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \\ \leq&2(\gamma+3)sin^2\frac{\theta}{4}\leq C\theta^2.\end{aligned}$$

Then we arrive at

$$\mathcal{J}_1\lesssim\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}|v-v_*|^\gamma g_*f^2dvdv_*.\tag{3.5}$$

If $\gamma>0$, we have

$$\mathcal{J}_1\lesssim\|g\|_{L^1_{|\gamma|}}\|f\|^2_{L^2_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}}.\tag{3.6}$$

On the other aspect, if $\gamma\leq0$, noticing the fact

$$\left(\frac{|v-v_*|}{\langle v-v_*\rangle}\right)^\gamma\lesssim\mathit{1}_{|v-v_*|\geq1}+|v-v_*|^\gamma\cdot\mathit{1}_{|v-v_*|\leq1},$$

and

$$\langle v-v_*\rangle^\gamma\lesssim\langle v_*\rangle^{-\gamma}\langle v\rangle^\gamma,$$

we can split $\mathcal{J}_1$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_1\lesssim&\iint_{|v-v_*|\geq1}g_*\langle v_*\rangle^{-\gamma}f^2\langle v\rangle^\gamma dvdv_*+\iint_{|v-v_*|\leq1}|v-v_*|^\gamma g_*\langle v_*\rangle^{-\gamma}f^2\langle v\rangle^\gamma dvdv_* \\ \triangleq&\mathcal{J}_{11}+\mathcal{J}_{12}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

Firstly we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{11}\lesssim\|g\|_{L^1_{|\gamma|}}\|f\|^2_{L^2_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}}.$$

Furthermore, concerning with the estimate on $\mathcal{J}_{11}$, we cite the following result (see Lemma 2.6 in [4]):

Lemma 3.2. *If* $\lambda < 3/2$ *then*

$$\iint_{|v-v_*|\leq 1} |f_*|\frac{|g|^2}{|v-v_*|^\lambda} dvdv_* \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2}\|g\|^2_{L^2}. \tag{3.8}$$

If $3/2 < \lambda < 3$ *then*

$$\iint_{|v-v_*|\leq 1} |f_*|\frac{|g|^2}{|v-v_*|^\lambda} dvdv_* \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2}\|g\|^2_{H^{\frac{\lambda}{2}-\frac{3}{4}}}. \tag{3.9}$$

From the above lemma it follows that, if $\gamma > -3/2$,

$$\mathcal{J}_{12} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^2_{|\gamma|}}\|f\|^2_{L^2_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}},$$

and if $-3 < \gamma \leq -3/2$,

$$\mathcal{J}_{12} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^2_{|\gamma|}}\|f\|^2_{H^\rho_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}},$$

with $\rho > -\frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{3}{4}$. Note that the case $\gamma = -3/2$ can be treated as $\gamma - \varepsilon$ for any small $\varepsilon > 0$.

Thus we have, if $-3/2 < \gamma \leq 0$,

$$\mathcal{J}_1 \lesssim \left(\|g\|_{L^1_{|\gamma|}} + \|g\|_{L^2_{|\gamma|}}\right)\|f\|^2_{L^2_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}}, \tag{3.10}$$

and if $-3 < \gamma \leq -3/2$,

$$\mathcal{J}_1 \lesssim \left(\|g\|_{L^1_{|\gamma|}} + \|g\|_{L^2_{|\gamma|}}\right)\|f\|^2_{H^\rho_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}}, \tag{3.11}$$

hence, together with the above two inequalities, we get for $\gamma \leq 0$,

$$\mathcal{J}_1 \lesssim \left(\|g\|_{L^1_{|\gamma|}} + \|g\|_{L^2_{|\gamma|}}\right)\|f\|^2_{H^\rho_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}}, \tag{3.12}$$

where ρ take the same value mentioned before.

3.2. Lower bound for $\mathcal{J}_2$

Setting $\mathcal{F} = f\langle v\rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_2 &= \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} |v-v_*|^\gamma b(cos\theta) g_*(f'-f)^2 dvdv_*d\sigma \\ &= \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} |v-v_*|^\gamma b(cos\theta) g_*\left(\langle v'\rangle^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}\mathcal{F}' - \langle v\rangle^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}\mathcal{F}\right)^2 dvdv_*d\sigma.\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

We shall give a different control for $\mathcal{J}_2$ with respect to γ.

- In the case of $\gamma \leq 0$:

Owing to $(A-B)^2 \geq \frac{A^2}{2} - B^2$, we obtain

$$\left(\langle v'\rangle^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}\mathcal{F}' - \langle v\rangle^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}\mathcal{F}\right)^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}\langle v'\rangle^{-\gamma}(\mathcal{F}'-\mathcal{F})^2 - \mathcal{F}^2\left(\langle v'\rangle^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} - \langle v\rangle^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}\right)^2,$$

so we can write that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_2 \geq&\frac{1}{2}\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}|v-v_*|^\gamma b(cos\theta)g_*\langle v'\rangle^{-\gamma}(\mathcal{F}'-\mathcal{F})^2dvdv_*d\sigma\\ &-\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}|v-v_*|^\gamma b(cos\theta)g_*\mathcal{F}^2\left(\langle v'\rangle^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}-\langle v\rangle^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}\right)^2dvdv_*d\sigma\\ \triangleq&\mathcal{L}_1-\mathcal{L}_2.\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

Observing that $|v-v_*|\sim|v'-v_*|$ and $\langle v'-v_*\rangle^{-\gamma}\lesssim\langle v'\rangle^{-\gamma}\langle v_*\rangle^{-\gamma}$, we have

$$|v-v_*|^\gamma\langle v'\rangle^{-\gamma}\langle v_*\rangle^{-\gamma}\gtrsim\left(\frac{|v-v_*|}{\langle v'-v_*\rangle}\right)^\gamma\gtrsim 1,$$

then we get

$$\mathcal{L}_1\gtrsim\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2}b(cos\theta)\left(g_*\langle v_*\rangle^\gamma\right)\left(\mathcal{F}'-\mathcal{F}\right)^2dvdv_*d\sigma.\tag{3.15}$$

Due to the well-known entropy dissipation inequality, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_1\gtrsim C_g\|\mathcal{F}\|^2_{H^s},\tag{3.16}$$

where C_g depends only on $\|g\langle v\rangle^\gamma\|_{L^1_1}$, $\|g\langle v\rangle^\gamma\|_{LlogL}$, and b.

As for the estimate of $\mathcal{L}_2$, we use the Taylor expansion at order 1 to get:

$$\langle v'\rangle^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}-\langle v\rangle^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}=\int_0^1(v'-v)\nabla\left(\langle v\rangle^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}\right)(v_\tau)d\tau,$$

with $\tau\in[0,1]$ and $v_\tau=v+\tau(v'-v)$.

Since

$$\left|(v'-v)\left(\nabla\big(\langle v\rangle^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}\big)\right)(v_\tau)\right|\lesssim|v'-v|\langle v_\tau\rangle^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}-1}\lesssim\theta|v-v_*|\langle v_\tau\rangle^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}-1},$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_2\lesssim&\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2}|v-v_*|^{\gamma+2}b(cos\theta)\theta^2g_*\mathcal{F}^2\langle v_\tau\rangle^{(-\gamma-2)}dvdv_*d\sigma\\ \lesssim&\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}|v-v_*|^{\gamma+2}g_*\mathcal{F}^2\langle v_\tau\rangle^{-(\gamma+2)}dvdv_*.\end{aligned}\tag{3.17}$$

Similar as we discussed before, if $\gamma+2>0$, we get

$$|v-v_*|^{\gamma+2}\langle v_\tau\rangle^{-(\gamma+2)}\langle v_*\rangle^{-(\gamma+2)}\lesssim 1,$$

which yields

$$\mathcal{L}_2\lesssim\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}g_*\mathcal{F}^2\langle v_*\rangle^{\gamma+2}dvdv_*\lesssim\|g\|_{L^1_{\gamma+2}}\|\mathcal{F}\|^2_{L^2}.\tag{3.18}$$

On the other hand, if $\gamma+2\leq 0$, recalling the assumption $\gamma\in(-3,0)$ immediately yields $\gamma+2\in(-1,0]$, then

$$\left(\frac{|v-v_*|}{\langle v-v_*\rangle}\right)^{\gamma+2}\lesssim \mathit{1}_{|v-v_*|\geq 1}+|v-v_*|^{\gamma+2}\cdot \mathit{1}_{|v-v_*|\leq 1}.$$

Noticing the fact

$$\langle v-v_*\rangle^{\gamma+2}\langle v_\tau\rangle^{-(\gamma+2)}\langle v_*\rangle^{\gamma+2}\lesssim 1,$$

then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_2\lesssim&\iint_{|v-v_*|\geq 1} g_*\mathcal{F}^2\langle v_*\rangle^{-(\gamma+2)}dvdv_*+\iint_{|v-v_*|\leq 1}|v-v_*|^{\gamma+2}g_*\mathcal{F}^2\langle v_*\rangle^{-(\gamma+2)}dvdv_*\\ \triangleq&\mathcal{L}_{21}+\mathcal{L}_{22}.\end{aligned}$$

Obviously we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{21}\lesssim\|g\|_{L^1_{|\gamma+2|}}\|\mathcal{F}\|^2_{L^2}.$$

Using Lemma 3.2 with $\gamma+2>-1>-3/2$, we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{22}\lesssim\|g\|_{L^2_{|\gamma+2|}}\|\mathcal{F}\|^2_{L^2},\tag{3.19}$$

so we arrive at the estimate

$$\mathcal{L}_2\lesssim\left(\|g\|_{L^1_{|\gamma+2|}}+\|g\|_{L^2_{|\gamma+2|}}\right)\|\mathcal{F}\|^2_{L^2}.\tag{3.20}$$

Thus we have for $\gamma\leq 0$,

$$\mathcal{J}_2=\mathcal{L}_1-\mathcal{L}_2\gtrsim C_g\|\mathcal{F}\|^2_{H^s}-\left(\|g\|_{L^1_{|\gamma+2|}}+\|g\|_{L^2_{|\gamma+2|}}\right)\|\mathcal{F}\|^2_{L^2},\tag{3.21}$$

where C_g is defined before.

- In the case of $\gamma>0$:

We first mention that

$$\langle v\rangle\sim|v|+\mathbf{1}_{|v|\leq 1},$$

so

$$\mathcal{J}_2\gtrsim\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2}\langle v-v_*\rangle^\gamma b(cos\theta)g_*(f'-f)^2dvdv_*d\sigma-\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2}b(cos\theta)g_*(f'-f)^2dvdv_*d\sigma.\tag{3.22}$$

The fact $\langle v-v_*\rangle^\gamma\geq\langle v_*\rangle^{-\gamma}\langle v\rangle^\gamma$ implies

$$(f'-f)^2=\left(\langle v'\rangle^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}\mathcal{F}'-\langle v\rangle^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}\mathcal{F}\right)^2\geq\frac{1}{2}\langle v'\rangle^{-\gamma}(\mathcal{F}'-\mathcal{F})^2-\mathcal{F}^2\left(\langle v'\rangle^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}-\langle v\rangle^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}\right)^2,$$

from which we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_2 \gtrsim & \frac{1}{2}\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} b(cos\theta)\left(g_*\langle v_*\rangle^{-\gamma}\right)(\mathcal{F}'-\mathcal{F})^2 dvdv_*d\sigma \\ & -\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} b(cos\theta)\left(g_*\langle v_*\rangle^{-\gamma}\right)f^2\left(\langle v'\rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}-\langle v\rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\right)^2 dvdv_*d\sigma \\ & -\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} \mathbf{1}_{|v-v_*|\le 1} b(cos\theta) g_*(f'-f)^2 dvdv_*d\sigma \\ \triangleq & \mathcal{L}_3-\mathcal{L}_4-\mathcal{L}_5.\end{aligned}$$

Similar as $\mathcal{L}_1$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_3 \gtrsim C_g\|\mathcal{F}\|^2_{H^s}, \tag{3.23}$$

with C_g depends on $\|g\langle v\rangle^{-\gamma}\|_{L^1_1}$, $\|g\langle v\rangle^{-\gamma}\|_{LlogL}$, and b.

To bound $\mathcal{L}_4$ we use the Taylor expansion for $\langle v'\rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}-\langle v\rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}$, and get

$$\mathcal{L}_4 \lesssim \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} b(cos\theta) g_* f^2 |v-v'|^2\langle v_\tau\rangle^{\gamma-2}\langle v_*\rangle^{-\gamma} dvdv_*d\sigma, \tag{3.24}$$

where $\tau\in[0,1]$ and v_τ is defined as before.

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_4 \lesssim & \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} b(cos\theta)\theta^2|v-v_*|^2 g_* f^2\langle v_\tau\rangle^{\gamma-2}\langle v_*\rangle^{-\gamma} dvdv_*d\sigma \\ \lesssim & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\left(g_*\langle v_*\rangle^{|\gamma-2|}\right)\left(f^2\langle v\rangle^{\gamma}\right)|v-v_*|^2\langle v_*\rangle^{-\gamma}\langle v_*\rangle^{-|\gamma-2|}\langle v\rangle^{-\gamma}\langle v_\tau\rangle^{\gamma-2} dvdv_* \\ \lesssim & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\left(g_*\langle v_*\rangle^{|\gamma-2|}\right)\left(f^2\langle v\rangle^{\gamma}\right)|v-v_*|^2\langle v-v_*\rangle^{-\gamma+\gamma-2} dvdv_*d\sigma \\ \lesssim & \|g\|_{L^1_{|\gamma-2|}}\|\mathcal{F}\|^2_{L^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

where we have used the following facts

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle v-v_*\rangle^{\gamma}\lesssim\langle v_*\rangle^{\gamma}\langle v\rangle^{\gamma}, \\ &\langle v_*-v_\tau\rangle^{-(\gamma-2)}\lesssim\langle v_*\rangle^{|\gamma-2|}\langle v_\tau\rangle^{-(\gamma-2)},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$|v_*-v_\tau|\sim|v-v_*|.$$

For the estimate of $\mathcal{L}_5$, we write it as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_5 = & \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} \mathbf{1}_{|v-v_*|\le 1} b(cos\theta) g_*(f'-f)^2 dvdv_*d\sigma \\ = & -2\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} \mathbf{1}_{|v-v_*|\le 1} b(cos\theta) g_* f(f'-f) dvdv_*d\sigma \\ & +\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} \mathbf{1}_{|v-v_*|\le 1} b(cos\theta) g_*(f'^2-f^2) dvdv_*d\sigma\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

$$\triangleq \mathcal{L}_5^{(1)} + \mathcal{L}_5^{(2)}.$$

When bounding the term $\mathcal{L}_5^{(1)}$ we refer to Proposition 2.1 and Remark 2.2 in [4], then we have

$$|\mathcal{L}_5^{(1)}| \lesssim \|g\|_{L^1} \|f\|_{H^s}^2. \tag{3.27}$$

Under a similar argument as we did for $\mathcal{J}_1$ we get

$$\mathcal{L}_5^{(2)} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^1} \|f\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{3.28}$$

so we have the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_5 \lesssim & \|g\|_{L^1} \left(\|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \|f\|_{H^s}^2 \right) \\ \lesssim & \|g\|_{L^1} \left(\|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Therefore we obtain for $\gamma > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_2 \gtrsim & \mathcal{L}_3 - \mathcal{L}_4 - \mathcal{L}_5 \\ \gtrsim & C_g \|\mathcal{F}\|_{H^s}^2 - \|g\|_{L^1_{|\gamma-2|}} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^2}^2 - \|g\|_{L^1} \big(\|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \big) \\ \gtrsim & C_g \|\mathcal{F}\|_{H^s}^2 - \|g\|_{L^1_{|\gamma-2|}} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^2}^2 - \|g\|_{L^1} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Following along the same lines as in [5], we finally arrive at

$$\mathcal{J}_2 \gtrsim C_g \|\mathcal{F}\|_{H^s}^2 - \left(\|g\|_{L^1_{|\gamma-2|}} + C_g \|g\|_{L^1}^2 \right) \|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.31}$$

Now we conclude that (3.6) and (3.31) imply that for $\gamma \in (0,1)$,

$$\langle -Q(g,f), f \rangle \gtrsim C_g \|f\|_{H^s_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}}^2 - \left(\|g\|_{L^1_{2-\gamma}} + C_g \|g\|_{L^1}^2 \right) \|f\|_{L^2_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}}^2, \tag{3.32}$$

where we have used $|\gamma - 2| = 2 - \gamma > \gamma$.

On the other hand, (3.12) and (3.21) imply that for $\gamma \leq 0$,

$$\langle -Q(g,f), f \rangle \gtrsim C_g \|f\|_{H^s_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}}^2 - \left(\|g\|_{L^1_{|\gamma+2|}} + \|g\|_{L^2_{|\gamma+2|}} \right) \|f\|_{L^2_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}}^2 - \left(\|g\|_{L^1_{|\gamma|}} + \|g\|_{L^2_{|\gamma|}} \right) \|f\|_{H^\rho_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}}^2, \tag{3.33}$$

with $-\frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{3}{4} < \rho < s$.

This leads us to the conclusion. □

4 Proof of the main lemma

4.1. Rewrite the equation

Let $\mu = \mu_{\delta,\kappa}(t) = e^{-(\delta - \kappa t)\langle v \rangle^2}$ with $\delta > \kappa T$. According to the translation invariance of the collision operator with respect to the variable v (see [8, 13]), we have, for the translation operator τ_h in v by h,

$$\tau_h Q(f,g) = Q(\tau_h f, \tau_h g).$$

Thus we have

$$\partial_v^\alpha Q(f,g)=\sum_{\alpha=\alpha'+\alpha''}\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}Q\big(f^{(\alpha')},g^{(\alpha'')}\big).$$

Then we could obtain from Eq.(1.2) that

$$\partial_t(\partial_v^\alpha f)=Q(f,f^{(\alpha)})+\sum_{\alpha'\neq 0}\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}Q\big(f^{(\alpha')},f^{(\alpha'')}\big).$$

Multiplying both sides by μ^{-1}, we obtain

$$(\partial_t+\kappa\langle v\rangle^2)(\mu^{-1}\partial_v^\alpha f)=\mu^{-1}Q(f,f^{(\alpha)})+\sum_{\alpha'\neq 0}\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}\mu^{-1}Q\big(f^{(\alpha')},f^{(\alpha'')}\big). \tag{4.1}$$

Set $F=\mu^{-1}f$ and denote $F^{(\alpha)}=\mu^{-1}f^{(\alpha)}$ for $\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^3$. Noticing that $\mu\mu_*=\mu'\mu'_*$, we get the following formula

$$\mu^{-1}Q(f,g)=Q(\mu F,G)+\iint B(\mu_*-\mu'_*)F'_*G'dv_*d\sigma.$$

Then it follows from (4.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_t+\kappa\langle v\rangle^2)F^{(\alpha)}=&Q(\mu F,F^{(\alpha)})+\sum_{\alpha'\neq 0}\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}Q\big(\mu F^{(\alpha')},F^{(\alpha'')}\big)\\&+\sum_{\alpha=\alpha'+\alpha''}\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}\iint B(\mu_*-\mu'_*)(F^{(\alpha')})'_*(F^{(\alpha'')})'dv_*d\sigma.\end{aligned}$$

Hereafter we denote $W_l=\langle v\rangle^l$. Multiplying by $W_l^2F^{(\alpha)}$ both sides and integrating over v, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|W_lF^{(\alpha)}\|^2+\kappa\|W_{l+1}F^{(\alpha)}\|^2\\=&\big(Q(\mu F,F^{(\alpha)}),W_l^2F^{(\alpha)}\big)+\sum_{\alpha'\neq 0}\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}\Big(Q\big(\mu F^{(\alpha')},F^{(\alpha'')}\big),W_l^2F^{(\alpha)}\Big)\\&+\sum_{\alpha=\alpha'+\alpha''}\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}\iiint B(\mu_*-\mu'_*)(F^{(\alpha')})'_*(F^{(\alpha'')})'W_l^2F^{(\alpha)}dvdv_*d\sigma\\=&\Psi_1^{(0,\alpha)}(t)+\sum_{\alpha'\neq 0}\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}\Psi_1^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t)+\sum_{\alpha=\alpha'+\alpha''}\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}\Psi_2^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t)\\=&\Psi_1^{(0,\alpha)}(t)+\mathcal{J}(t)+\mathcal{K}(t).\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Then multiplying by the weight $\frac{\rho^{2|\alpha|}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu}}$ both sides, and integrating from 0 to $t\in(0,T]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\|f(t)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha,r}+2\kappa\int_0^t\|f(\tau)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l+1,\rho,\alpha,r}d\tau\\\leq&\|f(0)\|^2_{\delta,l,\rho,\alpha,r}+2\int_0^t\frac{\rho^{2|\alpha|}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu}}\big(\Psi_1^{(0,\alpha)}(\tau)+\mathcal{J}(\tau)+\mathcal{K}(\tau)\big)d\tau.\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

We will estimate the above terms one by one. Firstly we consider the estimate on the "remainder term" $\Psi_2^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t)$, which will be given in the next subsection.

4.2. The estimate on the remainder term

We split the remainder term $\Psi_2^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Psi_2^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t)\\
=&\iiint B(\mu_*-\mu'_*)(F^{(\alpha')})'_*(W_lF^{(\alpha'')})'W_lF^{(\alpha)}dvdv_*d\sigma\\
&+\iiint B(\mu_*-\mu'_*)(F^{(\alpha')})'_*\big(W_l-W'_l\big)(F^{(\alpha'')})'W_lF^{(\alpha)}dvdv_*d\sigma\\
=&\Psi_{2,1}^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t)+\Psi_{2,2}^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Step I: The estimate for $\Psi_{2,2}^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t)$*.*

We can rewrite $\Psi_{2,2}^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{2,2}^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t)=&\iiint B\mu_*(F^{(\alpha')})'_*\big(W_l-W'_l\big)(F^{(\alpha'')})'W_lF^{(\alpha)}dvdv_*d\sigma\\
&-\iiint B\mu'_*(F^{(\alpha')})'_*\big(W_l-W'_l\big)(F^{(\alpha'')})'W_lF^{(\alpha)}dvdv_*d\sigma\\
=&I_+-I_-.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

The Taylor expansion gives that

$$W_l-W'_l=\int_0^1(v-v')\cdot(\nabla_vW_l)(v_\tau)d\tau$$

with $\tau\in[0,1]$ and $v_\tau=v'+\tau(v-v')$.

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|(v-v')\cdot(\nabla_vW_l)(v_\tau)|\lesssim&|v-v'|\langle v_\tau\rangle^{l-1}\lesssim|v-v'|\left(\langle v_\tau-v_*\rangle^{l-1}+\langle v_*\rangle^{l-1}\right)\\
\lesssim&\theta|v-v_*|\left(\langle v'\rangle^{l-1}+\langle v_*\rangle^{l-1}\right)\lesssim\theta|v-v_*|\langle v'\rangle^{l-1}\langle v_*\rangle^{l-1},\\
\Big(or\ \lesssim&\theta|v-v_*|\left(\langle v'\rangle^{l-1}+\langle v'_*\rangle^{l-1}\right)\lesssim\theta|v-v_*|\langle v'\rangle^{l-1}\langle v'_*\rangle^{l-1},\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact $|v'-v_*|\sim|v-v_*|$ and $|v_*|^2\le|v|^2+|v_*|^2=|v'|^2+|v'_*|^2$.

Since $|\mu_*W_{l-1,*}|,\ |\mu'_*W'_{l-1,*}|\lesssim1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_+|\lesssim&\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2}|v-v_*|^{\gamma+1}b(cos\theta)\theta|\mu_*W_{l-1,*}||\left(F^{(\alpha')}\right)'_*||\left(W_{l-1}F^{(\alpha'')}\right)'||\left(W_lF^{(\alpha)}\right)|dvdv_*d\sigma\\
\lesssim&\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2}|v-v_*|^{\gamma+1}b(cos\theta)\theta|\left(F^{(\alpha')}\right)'_*||\left(W_{l-1}F^{(\alpha'')}\right)'||\left(W_lF^{(\alpha)}\right)|dvdv_*d\sigma\\
\lesssim&\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2}|v-v_*|^{\gamma+1}b(cos\theta)\theta|\left(F^{(\alpha')}\right)_*||\left(W_{l-1}F^{(\alpha'')}\right)||\left(W_lF^{(\alpha)}\right)'|dvdv_*d\sigma\\
\triangleq&I,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.6)\qquad |I_-| \lesssim & \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} |v-v_*|^{\gamma+1} b(cos\theta)\theta |\mu'_* W'_{l-1,*}| \left(F^{(\alpha')}\right)'_* || \left(W_{l-1}F^{(\alpha'')}\right)' || \left(W_l F^{(\alpha)}\right) | dv dv_* d\sigma \\
\lesssim & \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} |v-v_*|^{\gamma+1} b(cos\theta)\theta | \left(F^{(\alpha')}\right)'_* || \left(W_{l-1}F^{(\alpha'')}\right)' || \left(W_l F^{(\alpha)}\right) | dv dv_* d\sigma \\
\lesssim & \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} |v-v_*|^{\gamma+1} b(cos\theta)\theta | \left(F^{(\alpha')}\right)_* || \left(W_{l-1}F^{(\alpha'')}\right) || \left(W_l F^{(\alpha)}\right)' | dv dv_* d\sigma \\
= & I,
\end{aligned}
$$

Now it's sufficient to estimate the integral I. By using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I \lesssim & \left\{ \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} |v-v_*|^{\gamma+1} b(cos\theta)\theta | \left(F^{(\alpha')}\right)_* || \left(W_{l-1}F^{(\alpha'')}\right) |^2 dv dv_* d\sigma \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \times \left\{ \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} |v-v_*|^{\gamma+1} b(cos\theta)\theta | \left(F^{(\alpha')}\right)_* || \left(W_l F^{(\alpha)}\right)' |^2 dv dv_* d\sigma \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\triangleq & I_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \times I_2^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $0 < s < 1/2$, we get

$$
(4.7)\qquad |I_1| \lesssim \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} |v-v_*|^{\gamma+1} | \left(F^{(\alpha')}\right)_* | | \left(W_{l-1}F^{(\alpha'')}\right) |^2 dv dv_*,
$$

so if $\gamma + 1 \geq 0$, we have

$$
(4.8)\qquad |I_1| \lesssim \|W_{\gamma+1}F^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^1} \lesssim \|W_{l+\gamma}F^{(\alpha'')}\|^2_{L^2} \lesssim \|W_l F^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^2} \|W_l F^{(\alpha'')}\|^2_{L^2},
$$

for $l \geq 4 > 5/2 + \gamma$ by using the embedding

$$
L^2_{3/2+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^3),\ \varepsilon > 0.
$$

And if $\gamma + 1 < 0$, applying Lemma 3.2 with $\gamma + 1 > -3/2$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.9)\qquad |I_1| \lesssim & \iint_{|v-v_*|\geq 1} |v-v_*|^{\gamma+1} | \left(F^{(\alpha')}\right)_* || \left(W_{l-1}F^{(\alpha'')}\right) |^2 dv dv_* \\
& + \iint_{|v-v_*|\leq 1} |v-v_*|^{\gamma+1} | \left(F^{(\alpha')}\right)_* || \left(W_{l-1}F^{(\alpha'')}\right) |^2 dv dv_* \\
\lesssim & \|F^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^1} \|W_{l-1}F^{(\alpha'')}\|^2_{L^2} + \|F^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^2} \|W_{l-1}F^{(\alpha'')}\|^2_{L^2} \\
= & \left(\|F^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^1} + \|F^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^2} \right) \|W_l F^{(\alpha')}\|^2_{L^2} \\
\leq & \|W_l F^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^2} \|W_l F^{(\alpha'')}\|^2_{L^2},
\end{aligned}
$$

for $l > 3/2$.

Thus we obtain the estimate

$$
(4.10)\qquad |I_1| \lesssim \|W_l F^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^2} \|W_l F^{(\alpha'')}\|^2_{L^2}.
$$

Concerning with I_2, we use the regular change of variables $v \mapsto v' = \frac{v+v_*}{2} + \frac{|v-v_*|}{2}\sigma$ for fixed σ and v_* whose Jacobian satisfies:

$$\left|\frac{dv'}{dv}\right| = \frac{\cos^2(\theta/2)}{4},$$

and get

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} |v-v_*|^{\gamma+1} b(\cos\theta)\theta \frac{4}{\cos^2(\theta/2)} \big|(F^{(\alpha')})_*\big| \big|(W_l F^{(\alpha)})\big|^2 dv dv_* d\sigma \\ &\leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} |v-v_*|^{\gamma+1} \big|(F^{(\alpha')})_*\big| \big|(W_l F^{(\alpha)})\big|^2 dv dv_*. \end{aligned}$$

Following a similar argument as above, we get, if $\gamma+1<0$,

$$|I_2| \lesssim \|W_l F^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^2} \|W_l F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{4.11}$$

and if $\gamma+1 \geq 0$, by setting $\eta = 1+\gamma \in [0,1)$, we have

$$|I_2| \lesssim \|W_{\gamma+1} F^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^1} \|W_{l+1+\gamma} F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|W_{\gamma+1} F^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^1} \|W_{l+\eta} F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{4.12}$$

Since the Hölder inequality yields

$$\|W_\eta G\|_{L^2} \leq \|G\|_{L^2}^{1-\eta} \|W_1 G\|_{L^2}^{\eta}$$

for $\eta \in [0,1]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| \lesssim & \|W_{\gamma+1} F^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^1} \|W_l F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}^{2(1-\eta)} \|W_{l+1} F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}^{2\eta} \\ \lesssim & \|W_l F^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^2} \|W_l F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}^{2(1-\eta)} \|W_{l+1} F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}^{2\eta}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Therefore, we have if $\gamma+1 \geq 0$,

$$I \lesssim \|W_l F^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^2} \|W_l F^{(\alpha'')}\|_{L^2} \|W_l F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}^{(1-\eta)} \|W_{l+1} F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}^{\eta}, \tag{4.14}$$

and if $\gamma+1<0$,

$$I \lesssim \|W_l F^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^2} \|W_l F^{(\alpha'')}\|_{L^2} \|W_l F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}. \tag{4.15}$$

Noticing that (4.15) is a special case of (4.14) with $\eta=0$, by setting $\eta^+ \triangleq max\{\eta, 0\}$ and $\beta = 1-\eta^+$, we get for $\gamma<0$:

$$I \lesssim \|W_l F^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^2} \|W_l F^{(\alpha'')}\|_{L^2} \|W_l F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}^{\beta} \|W_{l+1} F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}^{(1-\beta)}. \tag{4.16}$$

Finally, then, we have for $\gamma \in (-5/2, 0)$,

$$|\Psi_{2,2}^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t)| \leq |I_+| + |I_-| \leq 2|I| \lesssim \|W_l F^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^2} \|W_l F^{(\alpha'')}\|_{L^2} \|W_l F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}^{\beta} \|W_{l+1} F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}^{(1-\beta)}, \tag{4.17}$$

where $\beta = 1-\eta^+ = -\gamma \cdot \mathit{1}_{\gamma\in[-1,0)} + \mathit{1}_{\gamma\in(-5/2,-1)}$.

We remark here the above inequalities hold for appropriate large $l \geq 4$.

Step II: The estimate for $\Psi_{2,1}^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t)$.

Thanks to the Taylor expansion

$$\mu_* - \mu'_* = \int_0^1 (v_* - v'_*) \cdot (\nabla_v \mu)\,(v_\tau) d\tau,$$

with $\tau \in [0,1]$ and $v_\tau = v'_* + \tau(v_* - v'_*)$. Since $|\nabla_v \mu| \lesssim 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{2,1}^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t) \leq & \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} |v-v_*|^{\gamma+1} b(\cos\theta)\theta| \left(F^{(\alpha')}\right)'_* || \left(W_l F^{(\alpha'')}\right)' || \left(W_l F^{(\alpha)}\right) |dv dv_* d\sigma \\ = & \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} |v-v_*|^{\gamma+1} b(\cos\theta)\theta| \left(F^{(\alpha')}\right)_* || \left(W_l F^{(\alpha'')}\right) || \left(W_l F^{(\alpha)}\right)' |dv dv_* d\sigma \\ \leq & \left\{ \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} |v-v_*|^{\gamma+1} b(\cos\theta)\theta| \left(F^{(\alpha')}\right)_* || \left(W_l F^{(\alpha'')}\right) |^2 dv dv_* d\sigma \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \times \left\{ \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} |v-v_*|^{\gamma+1} b(\cos\theta)\theta| \left(F^{(\alpha')}\right)_* || \left(W_l F^{(\alpha)}\right)' |^2 dv dv_* d\sigma \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \triangleq & II_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \times II_2^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Noticing that $s \in (0, 1/2)$, we arrive at

$$|II_1| \lesssim \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} |v-v_*|^{\gamma+1} | \left(F^{(\alpha')}\right)_* || \left(W_l F^{(\alpha'')}\right) |^2 dv dv_*, \tag{4.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |II_2| \leq & \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} |v-v_*|^{\gamma+1} b(\cos\theta)\theta \frac{4}{\cos^2(\theta/2)} \big|(F^{(\alpha')})_*\big|\big|(W_l F^{(\alpha)})\big|^2 dv dv_* d\sigma \\ \lesssim & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} |v-v_*|^{\gamma+1} \big|(F^{(\alpha')})_*\big|\big|(W_l F^{(\alpha)})\big|^2 dv dv_*. \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Arguing as before, we have,

$$|II_1| \leq \|W_l F^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^2} \|W_l F^{(\alpha'')}\|_{L^2}^{2\beta} \|W_{l+1} F^{(\alpha'')}\|_{L^2}^{2(1-\beta)}, \tag{4.21}$$

$$|II_2| \leq \|W_l F^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^2} \|W_l F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}^{2\beta} \|W_{l+1} F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}^{2(1-\beta)}, \tag{4.22}$$

for $\beta = 1 - \eta^+ = -\gamma \cdot \mathit{1}_{\gamma\in[-1,0)} + \mathit{1}_{\gamma\in(-5/2,-1)}$.

Thus we get for $\gamma < 0$,

$$|\Psi_{2,1}^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t)| \leq \|W_l F^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^2} \|W_l F^{(\alpha'')}\|_{L^2}^{\beta} \|W_l F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}^{\beta} \|W_{l+1} F^{(\alpha'')}\|_{L^2}^{(1-\beta)} \|W_{l+1} F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}^{(1-\beta)}. \tag{4.23}$$

Now we can get the estimate of $\Psi_2^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}$ for soft potential,

$$\left|\Psi_2^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t)\right| \leq |\Psi_{2,1}^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t)| + |\Psi_{2,2}^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t)| \tag{4.24}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lesssim&\|W_lF^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^2}\|W_lF^{(\alpha'')}\|_{L^2}\|W_lF^{(\alpha)}\|^{\beta}_{L^2}\|W_{l+1}F^{(\alpha)}\|^{(1-\beta)}_{L^2}\\
&+\|W_lF^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^2}\|W_lF^{(\alpha'')}\|^{\beta}_{L^2}\|W_lF^{(\alpha)}\|^{\beta}_{L^2}\|W_{l+1}F^{(\alpha'')}\|^{(1-\beta)}_{L^2}\|W_{l+1}F^{(\alpha)}\|^{(1-\beta)}_{L^2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta=-\gamma\cdot\mathit{1}_{\gamma\in[-1,0)}+\mathit{1}_{\gamma\in(-5/2,-1)}\in(0,1]$.

We mention again the above inequalities hold for appropriate large $l\geq 4$.

Then, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\rho^{2|\alpha|}\big|\Psi_2^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t)\big|}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu}}\\
\leq&C\frac{\{(\alpha'-r)!\}^{\nu}\{(\alpha''-r)!\}^{\nu}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{\nu}}\|f(t)\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha',r}\|f(t)\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha'',r}\|f(t)\|^{\beta}_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha,r}\|f(t)\|^{(1-\beta)}_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,\alpha,r}\\
&+C\frac{\{(\alpha'-r)!\}^{\nu}\{(\alpha''-r)!\}^{\nu}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{\nu}}\|f(t)\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha',r}\|f(t)\|^{\beta}_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha'',r}\|f(t)\|^{\beta}_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha,r}\|f(t)\|^{(1-\beta)}_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,\alpha'',r}\\
&\times\|f(t)\|^{(1-\beta)}_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,\alpha,r}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.25}
$$

4.3. The coercivity and commutator estimate

Considering the estimate on $\Psi_1^{(0,\alpha)}$, we write firstly that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_1^{(0,\alpha)}=&\Big(Q(\mu F,W_lF^{(\alpha)}),W_lF^{(\alpha)}\Big)+\Big(W_lQ(\mu F,F^{(\alpha)})-Q(\mu F,W_lF^{(\alpha)}),W_lF^{(\alpha)}\Big)\\
=&\Psi_{1,1}^{(0,\alpha)}+\Psi_{1,2}^{(0,\alpha)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to estimate the "coercivity term" $\Psi_{1,1}^{(0,\alpha)}$ for soft potential ($\gamma<0$), using Lemma 3.1 with $g=\mu F$, $f=W_lF^{(\alpha)}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{1,1}^{(0,\alpha)}+c_0\|W_{l+\gamma/2}F^{(\alpha)}\|^2_{H^s}\lesssim&\left(\|\mu W_{|\gamma+2|}F\|_{L^1}+\|\mu W_{|\gamma+2|}F\|_{L^2}\right)\|W_{l+\gamma/2}F^{(\alpha)}\|^2_{L^2}\\
&+\left(\|\mu W_{|\gamma|}F\|_{L^1}+\|\mu W_{|\gamma|}F\|_{L^2}\right)\|W_{l+\gamma/2}F^{(\alpha)}\|^2_{H^{\rho}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.26}
$$

where c_0 is a constant depending only on the bounds of $\|f\|_{L^1_1}$, $\|f\|_{L\log L}$.

Due to the definition of the smooth Maxwellian decay solution (Def. 1.2), we have

$$\|\mu W_{|\gamma+2|}F\|_{L^1}\leq C\|W_lF\|_{L^2}=C\|f\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,0,r}\leq C,\tag{4.27}$$

$$\|\mu W_{|\gamma+2|}F\|_{L^2}\leq C\|W_lF\|_{L^2}=C\|f\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,0,r}\leq C,\tag{4.28}$$

$$\|\mu W_{|\gamma|}F\|_{L^1}\leq C\|W_lF\|_{L^2}=C\|f\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,0,r}\leq C,\tag{4.29}$$

$$\|\mu W_{|\gamma|}F\|_{L^2}\leq C\|W_lF\|_{L^2}=C\|f\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,0,r}\leq C.\tag{4.30}$$

Then we arrive at

$$\Psi_{1,1}^{(0,\alpha)}+c_0\|W_{l+\gamma/2}F^{(\alpha)}\|^2_{H^s}\leq C\|W_{l+\gamma/2}F^{(\alpha)}\|^2_{L^2}+C\|W_{l+\gamma/2}F^{(\alpha)}\|^2_{H^{\rho}}.\tag{4.31}$$

Thanks to the following interpolation inequality, for $0 < \rho < s$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\|f\|_{H^\rho} \le \|f\|_{H^s}^{\rho/s} \|f\|_{L^2}^{(s-\rho)/s} \le \varepsilon \|f\|_{H^s} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{\rho}{s-\rho}} \|f\|_{L^2},$$

then choosing $\varepsilon = c_0/(2C)$, we have for $\gamma < 0$,

$$\Psi_{1,1}^{(0,\alpha)} + \frac{c_0}{2} \|W_{l+\gamma/2} F^{(\alpha)}\|_{H^s}^2 \le C \|W_{l+\gamma/2} F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}^2 \le C \|W_l F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{4.32}$$

To bound the “commutator term” $\Psi_{1,2}^{(0,\alpha)}$ in the case of soft potential, we need the following proposition (see Proposition 2.8 in [4]):

Proposition 4.1. *Let* $0 < s < 1$, $\gamma > max\{-3, -2s - 3/2\}$. *For any* $l \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|\langle W_l Q(f,g) - Q(f, W_l g), h\rangle| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2_{l+3/2+(2s-1)^+ + \gamma^+ + \varepsilon}} \|g\|_{H^{(2s-1+\varepsilon)^+}_{l+(2s-1)^+ + \gamma^+}} \|h\|_{L^2}, \tag{4.33}$$

where we define $\rho^+ = max\{\rho, 0\}$.

Using the above proposition with $f = \mu F$, $g = F^{(\alpha)}$, $h = W_l F^{(\alpha)}$, and taking ε small enough such that $(2s - 1 + \varepsilon)^+ = 0$ in view of $s \in (0, 1/2)$, then we have

$$|\Psi_{1,2}^{(0,\alpha)}| \lesssim \|\mu W_{l+3/2+\varepsilon} F\|_{L^2} \|W_l F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|W_l F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{4.34}$$

Together with (4.32) and (4.34) we obtain

$$\Psi_1^{(0,\alpha)} + \frac{c_0}{2} \|W_{l+\gamma/2} F^{(\alpha)}\|_{H^s}^2 \lesssim \|W_l F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{4.35}$$

Thus we get for $\gamma < 0$,

$$\frac{\rho^{2|\alpha|} \left|\Psi_1^{(0,\alpha)}(t)\right|}{\{(\alpha - r)!\}^{2\nu}} + \frac{c_0}{2} \frac{\rho^{2|\alpha|} \|W_{l+\gamma/2} F^{(\alpha)}\|_{H^s}^2}{\{(\alpha - r)!\}^{2\nu}} \le C \|f(t)\|_{\delta-\kappa t, l, \rho, \alpha, r}^2. \tag{4.36}$$

4.4. Upper bound for collision operator

In order to estimate $\Psi_{1,1}^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}$, we need the following upper bound estimate for collision operator (see Proposition 2.9 in [4]):

Proposition 4.2. *Let* $0 < s < 1$ *and* $\gamma > max\{-3, -2s - 3/2\}$. *Then we have, for any* $p \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $m \in [s - 1, s]$,

$$|\langle Q(f,g), h\rangle| \lesssim \Big(\|f\|_{L^1_{p^+ + (\gamma+2s)^+}} + \|f\|_{L^2}\Big) \|g\|_{H^{max\{s+m, (2s-1+\varepsilon)^+\}}_{(p+\gamma+2s)^+}} \|h\|_{H^{s-m}_{-p}}.$$

Using the above proposition with $f = \mu F^{(\alpha')}$, $g = F^{(\alpha'')}$, $h = W_{2l} F^{(\alpha)}$, $p = l - \gamma - 2s$, $m = s$, and noticing $\gamma + 2s < \gamma + 1$, we obtain

$$\left|\Psi_1^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}\right| \lesssim \Big(\|\mu F^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^1_{l-\gamma-2s+(\gamma+2s)^+}} + \|\mu F^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^2}\Big) \|W_l F^{(\alpha'')}\|_{H^{2s}} \|W_{l+\gamma+2s} F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2} \tag{4.37}$$

$$\lesssim \|W_lF^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^2}\|W_lF^{(\alpha'')}\|_{H^{2s}}\|W_{l+1+\gamma}F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2},$$

Since $2s<1$ and

$$\partial_v(W_l\mu^{-1}f^{(\alpha'')})=\partial_v(W_l\mu^{-1})f^{(\alpha'')}+W_l\mu^{-1}f^{(\alpha''+1)},$$

then we have

$$\|W_lF^{(\alpha'')}\|_{H^{2s}}\leq \|W_{l+1}F^{(\alpha'')}\|_{L^2}+\|W_lF^{(\alpha''+1)}\|_{L^2}.$$

Further, by setting $\eta=1+\gamma$ and $\beta=1-\eta^+\in(0,1]$ as before, we have

$$\|W_{l+1+\gamma}F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}\leq \|W_lF^{(\alpha)}\|^{\beta}_{L^2}\|W_{l+1}F^{(\alpha)}\|^{(1-\beta)}_{L^2}.$$

So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\Psi_1^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}\right| \lesssim &\|W_lF^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^2}\|W_lF^{(\alpha)}\|^{\beta}_{L^2}\|W_{l+1}F^{(\alpha'')}\|_{L^2}\|W_{l+1}F^{(\alpha)}\|^{(1-\beta)}_{L^2}\\ &+\|W_lF^{(\alpha')}\|_{L^2}\|W_lF^{(\alpha''+1)}\|_{L^2}\|W_{l+1}F^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^2}\\ \triangleq&\Psi_{1,1}^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t)+\Psi_{1,2}^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t).\end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

Then we have the estimate for $\Psi_1^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t)$ with $\alpha'\neq 0$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\rho^{2|\alpha|}\left|\Psi_{1,1}^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t)\right|}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu}}\leq& C\frac{\{(\alpha'-r)!\}^{\nu}\{(\alpha''-r)!\}^{\nu}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{\nu}}\\ &\times\|f(t)\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha',r}\|f(t)\|^{(1-\eta)}_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha,r}\|f(t)\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,\alpha'',r}\|f(t)\|^{\eta}_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,\alpha,r},\end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\rho^{2|\alpha|}\left|\Psi_{1,2}^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t)\right|}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu}}\leq& C\frac{\{(\alpha'-r)!\}^{\nu}\{(\alpha''+1-r)!\}^{\nu}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{\nu}}\\ &\times\|f(t)\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha',r}\|f(t)\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha''+1,r}\|f(t)\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,\alpha,r}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

Now we have completed the estimates for $\Psi_1^{(0,\alpha)}(t)$, $\Psi_1^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t)$, and $\Psi_2^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}(t)$.

4.5. Completion of the proof of the main lemma

First of all, we refer to Lemma 3.2 of [16] (see also, Proposition 3.1 of [10]):

Proposition 4.3. *If $\nu\geq 1$ and $2\leq r\in\mathbb{N}$ then there exists a constant $B>0$ depending only on n and r such that for any $\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n$*

$$\sum_{\alpha=\alpha'+\alpha''}\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}\frac{\{(\alpha'-r)!\}^{\nu}\{(\alpha''-r)!\}^{\nu}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{\nu}}\leq B, \tag{4.41}$$

and

$$\sum_{\alpha=\alpha'+\alpha''}\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}\frac{\{(\alpha'+2-r)!\}^{\nu}\{(\alpha''-r)!\}^{\nu}\{(\alpha+2-r)!\}^{\nu}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu}}\leq C_N\triangleq B'. \tag{4.42}$$

Furthermore, if $\nu > 1$ *and* $r > 1 + \nu/(\nu - 1)$ *then there exists a constant* $B' > 0$ *depending only on* n, ν *and* r *such that for any* $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$

$$\sum_{\alpha=\alpha'+\alpha'',\,\alpha'\neq 0} \frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}\frac{\{(\alpha'-r)!\}^{\nu}\{(\alpha''+1-r)!\}^{\nu}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{\nu}} \leq B''. \tag{4.43}$$

Now we resume the proof of the main lemma (Lemma 2.1). Firstly we consider the integral including the term $\mathcal{K}(t)$ on the right-hand side of (4.3), we get from (4.25) that

(4.44)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t \frac{\rho^{2|\alpha|}\mathcal{K}(\tau)}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu}}d\tau \\
\leq & C\sum \frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}\frac{\{(\alpha'-r)!\}^{\nu}\{(\alpha''-r)!\}^{\nu}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{\nu}} \int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha',r}\|f(\tau)\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha'',r}\|f(\tau)\|^{\beta}_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha,r} \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \times \|f(\tau)\|^{(1-\beta)}_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,\alpha,r}d\tau \\
&+ C\sum \frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}\frac{\{(\alpha'-r)!\}^{\nu}\{(\alpha''-r)!\}^{\nu}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{\nu}} \int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha',r}\|f(\tau)\|^{\beta}_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha'',r}\|f(\tau)\|^{\beta}_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha,r} \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \times \|f(\tau)\|^{(1-\beta)}_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,\alpha'',r}\|f(\tau)\|^{(1-\beta)}_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,\alpha,r}d\tau \\
\leq & CB\int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha',r}\|f(\tau)\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha'',r}\|f(\tau)\|^{\beta}_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha,r}\|f(\tau)\|^{(1-\beta)}_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,\alpha,r}d\tau \\
&+ CB\int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha',r}\|f(\tau)\|^{\beta}_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha'',r}\|f(\tau)\|^{\beta}_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha,r}\|f(\tau)\|^{(1-\beta)}_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,\alpha'',r}\|f(\tau)\|^{(1-\beta)}_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,\alpha,r}d\tau \\
\triangleq & \mathcal{K}_1(t) + \mathcal{K}_2(t).
\end{aligned}$$

In order to handle with the term $\mathcal{K}_1(t)$, we use the Hölder inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_1(t) \leq CB\Big(&\frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|^{2/\beta}_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha',r}\|f(\tau)\|^{2/\beta}_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha'',r}\|f(\tau)\|^{2}_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha,r}d\tau \\
&+ \varepsilon\int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|^{2}_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,\alpha,r}d\tau\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.45}$$

Noticing that $3r \leq |\alpha| = |\alpha'| + |\alpha''| \leq N$ and letting

$$A = A_r(f) \triangleq \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \max_{|\beta|<3r} \|f(t)\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\beta,r},$$

we will consider a different estimate on the factor

$$\Xi \triangleq \|f(\tau)\|^{2/\beta}_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha',r}\|f(\tau)\|^{2/\beta}_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha'',r}\|f(\tau)\|^{2}_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha,r},$$

with respect to $|\alpha'|$ and $|\alpha''|$ while taking supremum on $|\alpha|$:

- If $|\alpha'|,\ |\alpha''| < 3r$, we have $\sup\limits_{3r\leq|\alpha|\leq N} \Xi \leq A^{4/\beta}\|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)$;
- If $|\alpha'| < 3r,\ |\alpha''| \geq 3r$, we have $\sup\limits_{3r\leq|\alpha|\leq N} \Xi \leq A^{2/\beta}\|f\|^{2(1+\beta)/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)$;

- If $|\alpha'| \geq 3r,\ |\alpha''| < 3r$, we have $\sup\limits_{3r\leq|\alpha|\leq N} \Xi \leq A^{2/\beta}\|f\|^{2(1+\beta)/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)$;
- If $|\alpha'|,\ |\alpha''| \geq 3r$, we have $\sup\limits_{3r\leq|\alpha|\leq N} \Xi \leq \|f\|^{2(2+\beta)/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)$.

From above we obtain

$$\mathcal{K}_1(t) \leq C_\kappa \int_0^t \Big(\|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau) + \|f\|^{2(2+\beta)/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)\Big)\,d\tau + \frac{\kappa}{200}\sup_{3r\leq|\alpha|\leq N}\int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,\alpha,r}d\tau. \tag{4.46}$$

As for the term $\mathcal{K}_2(t)$, the Hölder inequality yields that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_2(t) \leq CB\Big\{C_\varepsilon \int_0^t &\Big(\|f(\tau)\|^{2/\beta}_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha',r}\|f(\tau)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha'',r} + \|f(\tau)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha,r}\Big)\,d\tau\\ &+ \varepsilon\int_0^t \left(\|f(\tau)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,\alpha'',r} + \|f(\tau)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,\alpha,r}\right)d\tau\Big\},\end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

The same arguments give

$$\mathcal{K}_2(t) \leq C_\kappa \int_0^t \Big(\|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau) + \|f\|^{2(1+\beta)/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)\Big)\,d\tau + \frac{\kappa}{200}\sup_{3r\leq|\alpha|\leq N}\int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,\alpha,r}d\tau. \tag{4.48}$$

Thus together with (4.46) and (4.48) we have

$$\int_0^t \frac{\rho^{2|\alpha|}\mathcal{K}(\tau)}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu}}d\tau \leq C_\kappa \int_0^t \Big(\|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau) + \|f\|^{2(2+\beta)/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)\Big)\,d\tau + \frac{\kappa}{100}\sup_{3r\leq|\alpha|\leq N}\int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,\alpha,r}d\tau. \tag{4.49}$$

Secondly we consider the integral including the term $\mathcal{J}(t)$ on the right-hand side of (4.3), we get from (4.39) and (4.40) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t \frac{\rho^{2|\alpha|}\mathcal{J}(\tau)}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu}}d\tau\\ \leq&\int_0^t \sum_{\alpha=\alpha'+\alpha''}\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}\frac{\rho^{2|\alpha|}\left|\Psi^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}_{1,1}(\tau)\right|}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu}}d\tau + \int_0^t \sum_{\alpha=\alpha'+\alpha'',\,\alpha'\neq0}\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}\frac{\rho^{2|\alpha|}\left|\Psi^{(\alpha',\alpha'')}_{1,2}(\tau)\right|}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu}}d\tau\\ \leq& CB\int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha',r}\|f(\tau)\|^{\beta}_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha,r}\|f(\tau)\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,\alpha'',r}\|f(\tau)\|^{(1-\beta)}_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,\alpha,r}d\tau\\ &+CB'\int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha',r}\|f(\tau)\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha''+1,r}\|f(\tau)\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,\alpha,r}d\tau.\end{aligned} \tag{4.50}$$

Proceeding exactly as treating $\mathcal{K}(\tau)$, we can get

$$\int_0^t \frac{\rho^{2|\alpha|}\mathcal{J}(\tau)}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu}}d\tau \leq C_\kappa \int_0^t \Big(\|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau) + \|f\|^{2(1+\beta)/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)\Big)\,d\tau + \frac{\kappa}{100}\sup_{3r\leq|\alpha|\leq N}\int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l+1,\rho,\alpha,r}d\tau. \tag{4.51}$$

Observing that (4.36) implies

$$\int_0^t \frac{\rho^{2|\alpha|}\left|\Psi^{(0,\alpha)}_1(\tau)\right|}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu}}d\tau + \frac{c_0}{2}\int_0^t \frac{\rho^{2|\alpha|}\|W_{l+\gamma/2}\mu^{-1}f^{(\alpha)}\|^2_{H^s}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu}}d\tau \leq C\int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}d\tau. \tag{4.52}$$

Inserting (4.49), (4.51) and (4.52) into (4.3), we finally obtain the Gronwall type inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}(4.53)\quad &\|f(t)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,\alpha,r}+\frac{c_0}{2}\int_0^t\frac{\rho^{2|\alpha|}\|W_{l+\gamma/2}\mu^{-1}f^{(\alpha)}(\tau)\|^2_{H^s}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu}}d\tau+2\kappa\int_0^t\|f(\tau)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l+1,\rho,\alpha,r}d\tau\\ &\le\|f(0)\|^2_{\delta,l,\rho,\alpha,r}+C_\kappa\int_0^t\Big(\|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)+\|f\|^{2(2+\beta)/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)\Big)d\tau+\frac{\kappa}{10}\sup_{3r\le|\alpha|\le N}\int_0^t\|f(\tau)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l+1,\rho,\alpha,r}d\tau,\end{aligned}$$

which leads to the desired estimate (2.4) including the extra second term of the left-hand side.

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1. □

5 The spatially inhomogeneous case

This section is devoted to proving Theorems 1.6 and 1.7, the inhomogeneous version of Theorems 1.3 and 1.5, respectively. In contrast with the situation encountered before, here, the proof requires to consider the space variables x additionally.

Note that the same way as we did in Section 4 of [16] enables us to get Theorem 1.7, thus we only need to prove Theorem 1.6. It can be proved exactly in the same scheme as that of [16], except for the Gronwall type inequality with a different exponent $(2+\beta)/\beta$ instead of $(1+\beta)/\beta$ used in [16]. We give the outline as below.

We first introduce the definitions:

$$(5.1)\qquad \|f\|_{\delta,l,\rho,r,\alpha,\beta}\triangleq\frac{\rho^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}\|\langle v\rangle^l e^{\delta\langle v\rangle^2}\partial^\alpha_\beta f\|_{L^2_x(\mathbb{T}^3)L^2_v(\mathbb{R}^3)}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{\nu_1}\{(\beta-r)!\}^{\nu_2}},$$

and

$$(5.2)\qquad \|f\|_{l,\rho,r,N}\triangleq\sup_{\substack{3r\le|\alpha'|\le N\\ 3r\le|\beta'|\le N}}\|f\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,r,\alpha',\beta'}.$$

Remark that N is a fixed large integer satisfying $3r\le|\alpha|,\ |\beta|\le N-2$.

In order to prove Theorem 1.6, the key is to state that,

$$(5.3)\qquad \sup_{t\in(0,T]}\|f\|_{l,\rho,r,N}(t)<\infty,$$

provided that $\|f\|_{l,\rho,r,N}(0)$ is sufficiently small. Above, $\rho=\rho'(1+h)^{-\nu_1-\nu_2}$.

To achieve that, we begin by rewriting the spatially inhomogeneous equation.

5.1. Rewrite the equation

Applying ∂^α_β to Eq.(1.1), analogous to Subsection 3.1 of [16], we can obtain the following result,

$$(5.4)\qquad \partial_t F^{(\alpha,\beta)}+v\cdot\nabla_x F^{(\alpha,\beta)}+\kappa\langle v\rangle^2F^{(\alpha,\beta)}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=&-\beta\cdot F^{(\alpha+1,\beta-1)}+Q(\mu F,F^{(\alpha,\beta)})+\sum_{\substack{\alpha,\beta\\ |\alpha'|+|\beta'|\geq 1}}\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}\frac{\beta!}{\beta'!\beta''!}Q(\mu F^{(\alpha',\beta')},F^{(\alpha'',\beta'')})\\
&+\sum_{\alpha,\beta}\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}\frac{\beta!}{\beta'!\beta''!}\iint B(\mu_*-\mu'_*)(F^{(\alpha',\beta')})'_*(F^{(\alpha'',\beta'')})'dv_*d\sigma.
\end{aligned}
$$

Above, $F=\mu^{-1}f$ and $F^{(\alpha,\beta)}=\mu^{-1}\partial^\alpha_\beta f=\mu^{-1}\partial^\alpha_x\partial^\beta_v f$ for $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{Z}^3_+$. Note that we only consider $|\beta|\geq 1$ here, because the estimates for $|\beta|=0$ are similar and easier.

Set $W_l=\langle v\rangle^l$. Taking the $L^2_{x,v}$ inner product with $W_l^2F^{(\alpha,\beta)}$, we get

(5.5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|W_lF^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|^2+\kappa\|W_{l+1}F^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|^2+\iint v\cdot\nabla_xF^{(\alpha,\beta)}\ W_l^2F^{(\alpha,\beta)}dxdv\\
=&\iint-\beta\cdot F^{(\alpha+1,\beta-1)}\ W_l^2F^{(\alpha,\beta)}dxdv+\Big(Q(\mu F,F^{(\alpha,\beta)}),W_l^2F^{(\alpha,\beta)}\Big)\\
&+\sum_{\substack{\alpha,\beta\\ |\alpha'|+|\beta'|\geq 1}}\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}\frac{\beta!}{\beta'!\beta''!}\Big(Q\big(\mu F^{(\alpha',\beta')},F^{(\alpha'',\beta'')}\big),W_l^2F^{(\alpha,\beta)}\Big)\\
&+\sum_{\alpha,\beta}\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}\frac{\beta!}{\beta'!\beta''!}\iiiint B(\mu_*-\mu'_*)(F^{(\alpha',\beta')})'_*(F^{(\alpha'',\beta'')})'W_l^2F^{(\alpha,\beta)}dxdvdv_*d\sigma\\
\triangleq&\mathcal{R}(t)+\int\Psi_1^{(0,0)}(t,x)dx+\sum_{\substack{\alpha,\beta\\ |\alpha'|+|\beta'|\geq 1}}\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}\frac{\beta!}{\beta'!\beta''!}\int\Psi_1^{(\alpha',\beta')}(t,x)dx+\sum_{\alpha,\beta}\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}\frac{\beta!}{\beta'!\beta''!}\int\Psi_2^{(\alpha',\beta')}(t,x)dx\\
\triangleq&\mathcal{R}(t)+\Psi(t)+\mathcal{J}(t)+\mathcal{K}(t).
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that we have used the same notations for $\mathcal{J}(t)$ and $\mathcal{K}(t)$ as that in spatially homogeneous case, as no confusion is possible.

Noticing the fact

$$
\iint_{\mathbb{T}^3_x\times\mathbb{R}^3_v}v\cdot\nabla_xF^{(\alpha,\beta)}\ W_l^2F^{(\alpha,\beta)}dxdv=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_v}v\cdot\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3_x}\nabla_x[\big(W_lF^{(\alpha,\beta)}\big)^2]dx\right)dv=0,\tag{5.6}
$$

then multiplying by $\frac{\rho^{2(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu_1}\{(\beta-r)!\}^{2\nu_2}}$ both sides, and performing a time integration, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|f(t)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,r,\alpha,\beta}+2\kappa\int_0^t\|f(\tau)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l+1,\rho,r,\alpha,\beta}d\tau\\
\leq&\|f(0)\|^2_{\delta,l,\rho,r,\alpha,\beta}+2\int_0^t\frac{\rho^{2(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu_1}\{(\beta-r)!\}^{2\nu_2}}\Big(\mathcal{R}(\tau)+\Psi(\tau)+\mathcal{J}(\tau)+\mathcal{K}(\tau)\Big)d\tau.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.7}
$$

5.2. Proof of the Gevrey smoothing effect

Analogous to that of subsection 3.2 of [16], we can deduce the estimate for $\mathcal{R}(t)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{R}(t)|=&\left|\iint-\beta\cdot F^{(\alpha+1,\beta-1)}W_l^2F^{(\alpha,\beta)}dxdv\right|\\
\lesssim&\|W_lF^{(\alpha+1,\beta-1)}\|_{L^2_{x,v}}\|W_lF^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|_{L^2_{x,v}},
\end{aligned}\tag{5.8}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t \frac{\rho^{2(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu_1}\{(\beta-r)!\}^{2\nu_2}}|\mathcal{R}(\tau)|d\tau \\
\leq & C\frac{(\alpha+1-r)^{\nu_1}}{(\beta-r)^{\nu_2}}\cdot\int_0^t \frac{\rho^{(|\alpha|+1)+(|\beta|-1)}\|W_lF^{(\alpha+1,\beta-1)}\|_{L^2_{x,v}}}{\{(\alpha+1-r)!\}^{\nu_1}\{(\beta-1-r)!\}^{\nu_2}}\cdot\frac{\rho^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}\|W_lF^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|_{L^2_{x,v}}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{\nu_1}\{(\beta-r)!\}^{\nu_2}}d\tau \\
\leq & C_{_N}\int_0^t \|f\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,r,\alpha+1,\beta-1}\|f\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,r,\alpha,\beta}d\tau \\
\lesssim & \int_0^t \|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}d\tau.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.9}
$$

On the other hand, we can derive results corresponding to (4.49), (4.51) and (4.52) in spatially inhomogeneous case, as follows.

First, considering the space variables x as parameters and the case $|\alpha_1|\leq\left[\frac{|\alpha|}{2}\right]$ (which implies $|\alpha_1|+2\leq N$), we can infer, from (4.24) and the Hölder inequality, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{\mathbb{T}^3_x}\Psi_2^{(\alpha',\beta')}(t,x)dx\right| \\
\lesssim & \|W_lF^{(\alpha',\beta')}\|_{L^\infty_x(L^2_v)}\|W_lF^{(\alpha'',\beta'')}\|_{L^2_x(L^2_v)}\left\|\|W_lF^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|^{\beta}_{L^2_v}\right\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}\left\|\|W_{l+1}F^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|^{(1-\beta)}_{L^2_v}\right\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}}} \\
&+\|W_lF^{(\alpha',\beta')}\|_{L^\infty_x(L^2_v)}\left\|\|W_lF^{(\alpha'',\beta'')}\|^{\beta}_{L^2_v}\right\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}\left\|\|W_lF^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|^{\beta}_{L^2_v}\right\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}\left\|\|W_{l+1}F^{(\alpha'',\beta'')}\|^{(1-\beta)}_{L^2_v}\right\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}}} \\
&\quad\times\left\|\|W_{l+1}F^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|^{(1-\beta)}_{L^2_v}\right\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}}} \\
\lesssim & \|W_lF^{(\alpha'+2,\beta')}\|_{L^2_{x,v}}\|W_lF^{(\alpha'',\beta'')}\|_{L^2_{x,v}}\|W_lF^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|^{\beta}_{L^2_{x,v}}\|W_{l+1}F^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|^{(1-\beta)}_{L^2_{x,v}} \\
&+\|W_lF^{(\alpha'+2,\beta')}\|_{L^2_{x,v}}\|W_lF^{(\alpha'',\beta'')}\|^{\beta}_{L^2_{x,v}}\|W_lF^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|^{\beta}_{L^2_{x,v}}\|W_{l+1}F^{(\alpha'',\beta'')}\|^{(1-\beta)}_{L^2_{x,v}}\|W_{l+1}F^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|^{(1-\beta)}_{L^2_{x,v}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.10}
$$

Above, the last inequality follows from the Sobolev embedding $\|h\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3_x)}\lesssim\|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3_x)}$.

Whereas, in the case of $|\alpha_1|\geq\left[\frac{|\alpha|}{2}\right]+1$, we immediately have $|\alpha_2|+2\leq N$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{\mathbb{T}^3_x}\Psi_2^{(\alpha',\beta')}(t,x)dx\right| \\
\lesssim & \|W_lF^{(\alpha',\beta')}\|_{L^2_x(L^2_v)}\|W_lF^{(\alpha'',\beta'')}\|_{L^\infty_x(L^2_v)}\left\|\|W_lF^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|^{\beta}_{L^2_v}\right\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}\left\|\|W_{l+1}F^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|^{(1-\beta)}_{L^2_v}\right\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}}} \\
&+\|W_lF^{(\alpha',\beta')}\|_{L^2_x(L^2_v)}\left\|\|W_lF^{(\alpha'',\beta'')}\|^{\beta}_{L^2_v}\right\|_{L_x^\infty}\left\|\|W_lF^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|^{\beta}_{L^2_v}\right\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}\left\|\|W_{l+1}F^{(\alpha'',\beta'')}\|^{(1-\beta)}_{L^2_v}\right\|_{L_x^\infty} \\
&\quad\times\left\|\|W_{l+1}F^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|^{(1-\beta)}_{L^2_v}\right\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}}} \\
\lesssim & \|W_lF^{(\alpha',\beta')}\|_{L^2_{x,v}}\|W_lF^{(\alpha''+2,\beta'')}\|_{L^2_{x,v}}\|W_lF^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|^{\beta}_{L^2_{x,v}}\|W_{l+1}F^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|^{(1-\beta)}_{L^2_{x,v}} \\
&+\|W_lF^{(\alpha',\beta')}\|_{L^2_{x,v}}\|W_lF^{(\alpha''+2,\beta'')}\|^{\beta}_{L^2_{x,v}}\|W_lF^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|^{\beta}_{L^2_{x,v}}\|W_{l+1}F^{(\alpha''+2,\beta'')}\|^{(1-\beta)}_{L^2_{x,v}}\|W_{l+1}F^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|^{(1-\beta)}_{L^2_{x,v}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.11}
$$

Therefore, we obtain, if $|\alpha_1|\leq\left[\frac{|\alpha|}{2}\right]$,

$$
\frac{\rho^{2(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}\left|\int\Psi_2^{(\alpha',\beta')}(t,x)dx\right|}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu_1}\{(\beta-r)!\}^{2\nu_2}}
\tag{5.12}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & C\frac{\{(\alpha'+2-r)!\}^{\nu_1}\{(\alpha''-r)!\}^{\nu_1}\{(\alpha+2-r)!\}^{\nu_1}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu_1}}\cdot\frac{\{(\beta'-r)!\}^{\nu_2}\{(\beta''-r)!\}^{\nu_2}}{\{(\beta-r)!\}^{\nu_2}}\\
&\quad\times\|f\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,r,\alpha'+2,\beta'}\ \|f\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,r,\alpha'',\beta''}\ \|f\|^{\beta}_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,r,\alpha+2,\beta}\ \|f\|^{(1-\beta)}_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,r,\alpha+2,\beta}\\
&+C\frac{\{(\alpha'+2-r)!\}^{\nu_1}\{(\alpha''-r)!\}^{\nu_1}\{(\alpha+2-r)!\}^{\nu_1}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu_1}}\cdot\frac{\{(\beta'-r)!\}^{\nu_2}\{(\beta''-r)!\}^{\nu_2}}{\{(\beta-r)!\}^{\nu_2}}\\
&\quad\times\|f\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,r,\alpha'+2,\beta'}\ \|f\|^{\beta}_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,r,\alpha'',\beta''}\ \|f\|^{\beta}_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,r,\alpha+2,\beta}\ \|f\|^{(1-\beta)}_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,r,\alpha'',\beta''}\|f\|^{(1-\beta)}_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,r,\alpha+2,\beta},
\end{aligned}
$$

and if $|\alpha_1| \geq \left[\frac{|\alpha|}{2}\right]+1$, then we have

(5.13)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\rho^{2(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}\left|\int\Psi_2^{(\alpha',\beta')}(t,x)dx\right|}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu_1}\{(\beta-r)!\}^{2\nu_2}}\\
\leq & C\frac{\{(\alpha'-r)!\}^{\nu_1}\{(\alpha''+2-r)!\}^{\nu_1}\{(\alpha+2-r)!\}^{\nu_1}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu_1}}\cdot\frac{\{(\beta'-r)!\}^{\nu_2}\{(\beta''-r)!\}^{\nu_2}}{\{(\beta-r)!\}^{\nu_2}}\\
&\quad\times\|f\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,r,\alpha',\beta'}\ \|f\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,r,\alpha''+2,\beta''}\ \|f\|^{\beta}_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,r,\alpha+2,\beta}\ \|f\|^{(1-\beta)}_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,r,\alpha+2,\beta}\\
&+C\frac{\{(\alpha'-r)!\}^{\nu_1}\{(\alpha''+2-r)!\}^{\nu_1}\{(\alpha+2-r)!\}^{\nu_1}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu_1}}\cdot\frac{\{(\beta'-r)!\}^{\nu_2}\{(\beta''-r)!\}^{\nu_2}}{\{(\beta-r)!\}^{\nu_2}}\\
&\quad\times\|f\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,r,\alpha',\beta'}\ \|f\|^{\beta}_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,r,\alpha''+2,\beta''}\ \|f\|^{\beta}_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,r,\alpha+2,\beta}\ \|f\|^{(1-\beta)}_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,r,\alpha''+2,\beta''}\|f\|^{(1-\beta)}_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,r,\alpha+2,\beta}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We introduce the following notations:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{\alpha}'=\alpha'+2,\\&\widetilde{\alpha}''=\alpha'', \qquad \text{if}\ \ |\alpha'|\leq\left[\tfrac{|\alpha|}{2}\right],\\&\widetilde{\alpha}=\alpha+2,\end{aligned}\right.\quad\text{or}\quad\left\{\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{\alpha}'=\alpha',\\&\widetilde{\alpha}''=\alpha''+2,\ \ \text{if}\ \ |\alpha_1|\geq\left[\tfrac{|\alpha|}{2}\right]+1,\\&\widetilde{\alpha}=\alpha+2,\end{aligned}\right. \tag{5.14}
$$

then Proposition 4.3 yields that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t\frac{\rho^{2(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}\mathcal{K}(\tau)}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu_1}\{(\beta-r)!\}^{2\nu_2}}d\tau\\
\leq & C\sum\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}\frac{\beta!}{\beta'!\beta''!}\frac{\{(\widetilde{\alpha}'-r)!\}^{\nu_1}\{(\widetilde{\alpha}''-r)!\}^{\nu_1}\{(\widetilde{\alpha}-r)!\}^{\nu_1}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu_1}}\frac{\{(\beta'-r)!\}^{\nu_2}\{(\beta''-r)!\}^{\nu_2}}{\{(\beta-r)!\}^{\nu_2}}\\
&\quad\times\int_0^t\|f\|_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha}',\beta'}\ \|f\|_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha}'',\beta''}\ \|f\|^{\beta}_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha},\beta}\ \|f\|^{(1-\beta)}_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l+1,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha},\beta}\ d\tau\\
&+C\sum\frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'!\alpha''!}\frac{\beta!}{\beta'!\beta''!}\frac{\{(\widetilde{\alpha}'-r)!\}^{\nu_1}\{(\widetilde{\alpha}''-r)!\}^{\nu_1}\{(\widetilde{\alpha}-r)!\}^{\nu_1}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu_1}}\frac{\{(\beta'-r)!\}^{\nu_2}\{(\beta''-r)!\}^{\nu_2}}{\{(\beta-r)!\}^{\nu_2}}\\
&\quad\times\int_0^t\|f\|_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha}',\beta'}\ \|f\|^{\beta}_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha}'',\beta''}\ \|f\|^{\beta}_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l+1,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha},\beta}\ \|f\|^{(1-\beta)}_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l+1,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha}'',\beta''}\\
&\quad\times\|f\|^{(1-\beta)}_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l+1,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha},\beta}\ d\tau\\
\leq & C\int_0^t\|f\|_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha}',\beta'}\ \|f\|_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha}'',\beta''}\ \|f\|^{\beta}_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha},\beta}\ \|f\|^{(1-\beta)}_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l+1,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha},\beta}\ d\tau\\
&+C\int_0^t\|f\|_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha}',\beta'}\ \|f\|^{\beta}_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha}'',\beta''}\ \|f\|^{\beta}_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l+1,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha},\beta}\ \|f\|^{(1-\beta)}_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l+1,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha}'',\beta''}\\
&\quad\times\|f\|^{(1-\beta)}_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l+1,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha},\beta}\ d\tau
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}
$$

$$\triangleq \mathcal{K}_3(t) + \mathcal{K}_4(t).$$

We take the term $\mathcal{K}_3(t)$ for example of the following techniques. By the Hölder inequality, we get,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_3(t) \leq CB\Big(&\frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\int_0^t \|f\|^{2/\beta}_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha}',\beta'}\ \|f\|^{2/\beta}_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha}'',\beta''}\ \|f\|^{2}_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha},\beta}\ d\tau \\ &+\varepsilon\int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha},\beta}\ d\tau\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

We then introduce the definitions:

$$A_l \triangleq \sup_{t\in[0,T]}\ \sup_{\substack{|\alpha|\leq 3r\\ |\beta|\leq 3r}} \|f(t)\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,r,\alpha,\beta}, \tag{5.17}$$

$$[f]_{l,\rho,r,N}(t,\alpha_0) \triangleq \sup_{\substack{|\alpha'|\leq 3r\\ 3r\leq|\beta'|\leq N}} \|f(t)\|_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,r,\alpha',\beta'}, \tag{5.18}$$

where N takes the same value as above. In addition, α_0 only denotes some multi-index satisfying $|\alpha_0| \leq 3r$, and its exact value is not so important. Likewise, we can also define $[f]_{l,\rho,r,N}(t,\beta_0)$.

In what follows, by setting $N_0 = 3r+2$, we shall give a different bound on the factor

$$\Theta \triangleq \|f\|^{2/\beta}_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha}',\beta'}\ \|f\|^{2/\beta}_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha}'',\beta''}\ \|f\|^{2}_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l,\rho,r,\widetilde{\alpha},\beta},$$

with respect to the values of components $|\widetilde{\alpha}'|,\ |\widetilde{\alpha}''|$ and $|\beta'|,\ |\beta''|$ while taking supremum on $|\widetilde{\alpha}|$ and $|\beta|$:

- ∗ If $|\widetilde{\alpha}'|,\ |\widetilde{\alpha}''| \leq N_0$ and moreover,
  - · if $|\beta'|,\ |\beta''| \leq 3r$, we have $\sup\limits_{\substack{N_0\leq|\widetilde{\alpha}|\leq N\\ 3r\leq|\beta|\leq N}} \Theta \leq A^{4/\beta}\|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}$;
  - · if $|\beta'| \geq 3r,\ |\beta''| \leq 3r$, we have $\sup\limits_{\substack{N_0\leq|\widetilde{\alpha}|\leq N\\ 3r\leq|\beta|\leq N}} \Theta \leq A^{2/\beta}[f]^{2/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\alpha_0)\|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}$;
  - · if $|\beta'| \leq 3r,\ |\beta''| \geq 3r$, we have $\sup\limits_{\substack{N_0\leq|\widetilde{\alpha}|\leq N\\ 3r\leq|\beta|\leq N}} \Theta \leq A^{2/\beta}[f]^{2/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\alpha_0)\|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}$;
  - · if $|\beta'|,\ |\beta''| \geq 3r$, we have $\sup\limits_{\substack{N_0\leq|\widetilde{\alpha}|\leq N\\ 3r\leq|\beta|\leq N}} \Theta \leq [f]^{4/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\alpha_0)\|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}$;
- ∗ If $|\widetilde{\alpha}'| \geq N_0,\ |\widetilde{\alpha}''| \leq N_0$ and moreover,
  - · if $|\beta'|,\ |\beta''| \leq 3r$, we have $\sup\limits_{\substack{N_0\leq|\widetilde{\alpha}|\leq N\\ 3r\leq|\beta|\leq N}} \Theta \leq A^{2/\beta}[f]^{2/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\beta_0)\|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}$;
  - · if $|\beta'| \geq 3r,\ |\beta''| \leq 3r$, we have $\sup\limits_{\substack{N_0\leq|\widetilde{\alpha}|\leq N\\ 3r\leq|\beta|\leq N}} \Theta \leq A^{2/\beta}\|f\|^{2(1+\beta)/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}$;
  - · if $|\beta'| \leq 3r,\ |\beta''| \geq 3r$, we have $\sup\limits_{\substack{N_0\leq|\widetilde{\alpha}|\leq N\\ 3r\leq|\beta|\leq N}} \Theta \leq [f]^{2/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\alpha_0)[f]^{2/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\beta_0)\|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}$;
  - · if $|\beta'|,\ |\beta''| \geq 3r$, we have $\sup\limits_{\substack{N_0\leq|\widetilde{\alpha}|\leq N\\ 3r\leq|\beta|\leq N}} \Theta \leq [f]^{2/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\alpha_0)\|f\|^{2(1+\beta)/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}$;

- $*$ If $|\widetilde{\alpha}'| \le N_0,\ |\widetilde{\alpha}''| \ge N_0$, we have
  - $\cdot$ if $|\beta'|,\ |\beta''| \le 3r$, we have $\sup\limits_{\substack{N_0\le|\widetilde{\alpha}|\le N\\ 3r\le|\beta|\le N}} \Theta \le A^{2/\beta}[f]^{2/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\beta_0)\|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}$;
  - $\cdot$ if $|\beta'| \ge 3r,\ |\beta''| \le 3r$, we have $\sup\limits_{\substack{N_0\le|\widetilde{\alpha}|\le N\\ 3r\le|\beta|\le N}} \Theta \le [f]^{2/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\alpha_0)[f]^{2/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\beta_0)\|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}$;
  - $\cdot$ if $|\beta'| \le 3r,\ |\beta''| \ge 3r$, we have $\sup\limits_{\substack{N_0\le|\widetilde{\alpha}|\le N\\ 3r\le|\beta|\le N}} \Theta \le A^{2/\beta}\|f\|^{2(1+\beta)/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}$;
  - $\cdot$ if $|\beta'|,\ |\beta''| \ge 3r$, we have $\sup\limits_{\substack{N_0\le|\widetilde{\alpha}|\le N\\ 3r\le|\beta|\le N}} \Theta \le [f]^{2/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\alpha_0)\|f\|^{2(1+\beta)/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}$;
- $*$ If $|\widetilde{\alpha}'|,\ |\widetilde{\alpha}''| \ge 3r$, we have
  - $\cdot$ if $|\beta'|,\ |\beta''| \le 3r$, we have $\sup\limits_{\substack{N_0\le|\widetilde{\alpha}|\le N\\ 3r\le|\beta|\le N}} \Theta \le [f]^{4/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\beta_0)\|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}$;
  - $\cdot$ if $|\beta'| \ge 3r,\ |\beta''| \le 3r$, we have $\sup\limits_{\substack{N_0\le|\widetilde{\alpha}|\le N\\ 3r\le|\beta|\le N}} \Theta \le [f]^{2/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\beta_0)\|f\|^{2(1+\beta)/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}$;
  - $\cdot$ if $|\beta'| \le 3r,\ |\beta''| \ge 3r$, we have $\sup\limits_{\substack{N_0\le|\widetilde{\alpha}|\le N\\ 3r\le|\beta|\le N}} \Theta \le [f]^{2/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\beta_0)\|f\|^{2(1+\beta)/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}$;
  - $\cdot$ if $|\beta'|,\ |\beta''| \ge 3r$, we have $\sup\limits_{\substack{N_0\le|\widetilde{\alpha}|\le N\\ 3r\le|\beta|\le N}} \Theta \le \|f\|^{2(2+\beta)/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}$.

Therefore, combining the above inequalities, and setting

$$[G_l]^{2/\beta}(t) \triangleq [f]^{2/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(t,\alpha_0) + [f]^{2/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(t,\beta_0) + A^{2/\beta}, \tag{5.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[H_l]^{4/\beta}(t) \triangleq\, & [f]^{4/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(t,\alpha_0) + [f]^{4/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(t,\beta_0) + [f]^{2/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(t,\alpha_0)\cdot[f]^{2/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(t,\beta_0)\\ & + A^{2/\beta}[f]^{2/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(t,\alpha_0) + A^{2/\beta}[f]^{2/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(t,\beta_0) + A^{4/\beta},\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_3(t) \le C_\kappa \int_0^t & \Big\{\|f\|^{2(2+\beta)/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau) + \|f\|^{2(1+\beta)/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)[G_l]^{2/\beta}(\tau) + \|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)[H_l]^{4/\beta}(\tau)\Big\}\, d\tau\\ & + \frac{\kappa}{200}\sup_{\substack{3r\le|\alpha|\le N\\ 3r\le|\beta|\le N}} \int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,r,\alpha,\beta} d\tau.\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

Following along the lines of the subsection 3.3 in [16], we claim that $[G_l]^{2/\beta}$ and $[H_l]^{4/\beta}$ have a uniform bound, more precisely,

$$\sup_{t\in(0,T]} [G_l]^{2/\beta}(t) \le C, \tag{5.22}$$

and

$$\sup_{t\in(0,T]} [H_l]^{4/\beta}(t) \le C, \tag{5.23}$$

because we can prove the following two results:

$$\sup_{t\in(0,T]}[f]_{l,\rho,r,N}(t,\alpha_0)\le C, \tag{5.24}$$

$$\sup_{t\in(0,T]}[f]_{l,\rho,r,N}(t,\beta_0)\le C. \tag{5.25}$$

Thus, we finally get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_3(t)\le C_\kappa\int_0^t\Big\{\|f\|^{2(2+\beta)/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)+\|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)\Big\}d\tau\\+\frac{\kappa}{200}\sup_{\substack{3r\le|\alpha|\le N\\3r\le|\beta|\le N}}\int_0^t\|f(\tau)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,r,,\alpha,\beta}d\tau.\end{aligned}\tag{5.26}$$

A similar process enables us to get the same bound for $\mathcal{K}_4$, then we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t\frac{\rho^{2(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}\mathcal{K}(\tau)}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu_1}\{(\beta-r)!\}^{2\nu_2}}d\tau\le C_\kappa\int_0^t\Big(\|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)+\|f\|^{2(2+\beta)/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)\Big)d\tau\\+\frac{\kappa}{100}\sup_{\substack{3r\le|\alpha|\le N\\3r\le|\beta|\le N}}\int_0^t\|f(\tau)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa t,l+1,\rho,r,\alpha,\beta}d\tau.\end{aligned}\tag{5.27}$$

Second, by mimicking this scheme we can bound $\mathcal{J}(t)$ in spatially inhomogeneous case:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t\frac{\rho^{2(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}\mathcal{J}(\tau)}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu_1}\{(\beta-r)!\}^{2\nu_2}}d\tau\le C_\kappa\int_0^t\Big(\|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)+\|f\|^{2(1+\beta)/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)\Big)d\tau\\+\frac{\kappa}{100}\sup_{\substack{3r\le|\alpha|\le N\\3r\le|\beta|\le N}}\int_0^t\|f(\tau)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l+1,\rho,r,\alpha,\beta}d\tau.\end{aligned}\tag{5.28}$$

Likewise, for $\Psi(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t\frac{\rho^{2(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}\,|\Psi(\tau)|}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu_1}\{(\beta-r)!\}^{2\nu_2}}d\tau+\frac{c_0}{2}\int_0^t\frac{\rho^{2(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}\|W_{l+\gamma/2}\mu^{-1}f^{(\alpha)}\|^2_{H^s}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu_1}\{(\beta-r)!\}^{2\nu_2}}d\tau\\&\le C\int_0^t\|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)d\tau.\end{aligned}\tag{5.29}$$

Inserting the above three inequalities (5.27), (5.28) and (5.29) into (5.7), we can obtain the Gronwall type inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}&\|f(t)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa t,l,\rho,r,\alpha,\beta}+\frac{c_0}{2}\int_0^t\frac{\rho^{2(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}\|W_{l+\gamma/2}\mu^{-1}f^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\tau)\|^2_{L^2_x(H^s_v)}}{\{(\alpha-r)!\}^{2\nu_1}\{(\beta-r)!\}^{2\nu_2}}d\tau\\&\quad+2\kappa\int_0^t\|f(\tau)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l+1,\rho,r,\alpha,\beta}\,d\tau\\&\le\|f(0)\|^2_{\delta,l,\rho,r,\alpha,\beta}+C_\kappa\int_0^t\Big\{\|f\|^2_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)+\|f\|^{2(2+\beta)/\beta}_{l,\rho,r,N}(\tau)\Big\}d\tau\\&\quad+\frac{\kappa}{10}\sup_{\substack{3r\le|\alpha|\le N\\3r\le|\beta|\le N}}\int_0^t\|f(\tau)\|^2_{\delta-\kappa\tau,l+1,\rho,r,\alpha,\beta}\,d\tau.\end{aligned}\tag{5.30}$$

The left proof is very close to the process of [16] and is thus omitted. So we can conclude Theorem 1.6 and thus Theorem 1.7 describes the Gevrey smoothing effect in spatially inhomogeneous. □

Acknowledgements. This work was partially supported by NNSFC (No. 11271382 and No. 10971235), RFDP (No. 20120171110014), and the key project of Sun Yat-sen University (No. c1185).

References

[1] R. Alexandre, *A review of Boltzmann equation with singular kernels*, Kinetic and Related Models, **2(4)** (2009), 551-646.

[2] R. Alexandre, L. Desvillettes, C. Villani, B. Wennberg, *Entropy dissipation and long-range interactions*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 152 (2000), 327-355.

[3] R. Alexandre, Y. Morimoto, S. Ukai, C.-J. Xu and T. Yang, *Regularizing effect and local existence for non-cutoff Boltzmann equation*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **198** (2010), 39-123.

[4] R. Alexandre, Y. Morimoto, S. Ukai, C.-J. Xu and T.Yang, *Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff in the whole space: Qualitative properties of solutions*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **202(2)** (2011),599-661.

[5] Y. Chen and L. He, *Smoothing estimates for Boltzmann equation with full-range interactions: Spatially homogeneous case*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **201(2)** (2011), 501-548.

[6] L. Desvillettes, *Boltzmann's Kernel and the Spatially Homogeneous Boltzmann Equation*, Riv. di Mat. Parma, **6(4)** (2001), 1-22.

[7] L. Desvillettes, G. Furiolo and E. Terraneo, *Propagation of Gevrey regularity for solutions of the Boltzmann equation for Maxwellian molecules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **361** (2009), 1731-1747.

[8] L. Desvillettes and B. Wennberg, *Smoothness of the solution of the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation without cutoff*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **29(1-2)** (2004), 133-155.

[9] Z.H. Huo, Y. Morimoto, S. Ukai and T. Yang, *Regularity of solutions for spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation without Angular cutoff*, Kinetic and Related Models, **1** (2008), 453-489.

[10] Y. Morimoto and S. Ukai, *Gevrey smoothing effect of solutions for spatially homogeneous nonlinear Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl., **1** (2010), 139-159.

[11] Y. Morimoto, S. Ukai, C.-J. Xu and T. Yang, *Regularity of solutions to the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems-Series A, **24** (2009), 187-212.

[12] Y. Morimoto and C.-J. Xu, *Ultra-analytic effect of Cauchy problem for a class of kinetic equations*, J. Diff. Equ., **247** (2009), 596-617.

[13] S. Ukai, *Local solutions in Gevrey classes to the nonlinear Boltzmann equation without cutoff*, Japan J. Appl. Math., **1(1)** (1984), 141-156.

[14] C. Villani, *A review of mathematical topics in collisional kinetic theory*, In: Handbook of Fluid Mechanics, (2002).

[15] T.-F. Zhang and Z. Yin, *Gevrey regularity of spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation without cutoff*, J. Diff. Equ., **253(4)** (2012), 1172-1190.

[16] T.-F. Zhang and Z. Yin, *Gevrey Regularity for Solutions of the Non-Cutoff Boltzmann Equation: Spatially Inhomogeneous Case*, Preprint arXiv: 1304.2971.pdf.